UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Factor D	for the istrict of Pennsylvania
Eastern D	istrict of Fernisylvania
AKMAL "HAKIM" AKBAR, et al.)
Plaintiff	_)
V.	Civil Action No.
NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE)
Defendant	
SUMMONS	S IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) ROGER GOODELL NATIONAL FOOTBAL 280 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YO	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an open P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff and	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cou	l be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	Michael E. Kunz
	Clerk of Court
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS AKMAL "HAKIM" AKBAR, ET AL.				DEFENDANTS NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff CALIFORNIA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Resider	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant NEW YORK (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Larry Coben, Sol Weiss a 1710 Spruce Street, Phil		NAPOL SCHWARTZ	Attorneys (If Know	vn)			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)			(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only Citizen of This State	PTF DEF MA 1			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	▼ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and F of Business In A			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	6 66		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			······································	V I DANKDUDTOV	ALCO OTHER STATISTICS OF A		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or D 5 and	ansferred from of Multidistression of Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. § 171 Brief description of ca	1, 28 U.S.C. § 1332 nuse:	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional	l statutes unless diversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Other personal in CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE THE HONO	RABĻE ANITA BRODY	DOCKET NUMBER 2:	11-CV-05209-AB		
DATE 11-2012		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AI	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 09/11)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.CP., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdicti on arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is aparty, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

 Example:

 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of

assignment to appropriate calculate.	0001
Address of Plaintiff: P.O. Box 1088 Long Beach, CA 9	
Address of Defendant: 280 Park Avenue, New York, NY	10017
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held cornoration owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: 2:11-cv-05209ABJudge Honorable Anita	BrodyTerminated: n/a
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No ☑
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
action in this court:	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No ☑
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	ats case filed by the same individual?
4. Is this case a second of successive habeas corpus, social security appear, or pro-sectivity rigid	Yes Now
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □XAll other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT	
I, SOI H. Weiss, counsel of record do hereby cert	ify:
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	l belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
/ / / //	15005
DATE: 5-11-13 / Jaellin	15925
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if th	Attorney I.D.# here has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	r within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	
DATE:	
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

Attorney-at-Law

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to a assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: P.O. Box 1088 Long Beach, CA 90	0801
Address of Defendant: 280 Park Avenue, New York, NY	10017
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For A	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))) Yes□ No□
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□
DELATED CASE IF ANY	-
Case Number: 2:11-cv-05209ABJudge Honorable Anita I	BrodyTerminated: n/a
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No₩
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	ats case filed by the same individual?
4. Is this case a second of successive habeas corpus, social security appear, or pro-so-corrections	Yes□ Nov□
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	 □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □XAll other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CER' (Check Appropriate Control of the Counsel of record do hereby cert) (Check Appropriate Control of the Counsel of record do hereby cert)	Category)
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	d belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 5-11-12 / Jackey	15925
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending o except as noted above.	or within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE:	A
Attorney-at-1 aw	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Nun	nber	E-Mail Address	
215-735-1130	215-735-2	2211	sweiss@anapolschwart	z.com
Date	Attorney-a	at-law	Attorney for	
5-11-12	[Jack	hu	Plaintiffs	
(f) Standard Management	t – Cases that do no	ot fall into any o	ne of the other tracks.	()
(e) Special Management – commonly referred to the court. (See reverse management cases.)	as complex and the	at need special o	or intense management by	(x)
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	lving claims for pe	ersonal injury or	property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases rec	quired to be design	nated for arbitrati	ion under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	() .
(b) Social Security – Case and Human Services d	es requesting review lenying plaintiff So	w of a decision o ocial Security Be	of the Secretary of Health enefits.	()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	es brought under 28	8 U.S.C. § 2241	through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CA	ASE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendan	Case Management rve a copy on all de e event that a defe at shall, with its first parties, a Case Mar	Track Designation of the feedbants (See § and ant does not a stappearance, surpressed agement Track	duction Plan of this court, couns on Form in all civil cases at the time 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reagree with the plaintiff regarding about to the clerk of court and ser Designation Form specifying the	me of verse g said ve on
National Football	League	:	NO.	
v.	,	; ;		
Akmal "Hakim" Akba	ar, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AKMAL "HAKIM" AKBAR, TERRY T. ALLEN, MIKE BANKS and his wife, TANYA BANKS, BRETT BASANEZ, PATRICK J. BATES, MARCO BATTAGLIA, PETER BERCICH, JOSH BIDWELL, RYON BINGHAM, RUBEN SCOTT BLANTON, RYAN BOSCHETTI, MICHAEL J. BOULWARE, ROBERT BUCZKOWSKI, GLENN CADREZ. JEROME CARTER, ANTOINE CASH, VINNY CIURCIU, KENNETH CLARK, MICHAEL CLOUD and his wife, JENNIFER CLOUD, QUENTIN CORYATT, EARL C. DOTSON, DOVONTE EDWARDS, JOHN ELLIOTT, MARK FIELDS, LORENZO FREEMAN, KELVIN GARMON, ROBERT HUNTER GOODWIN and his wife, AMBER LYNN GOODWIN, GASTON GREEN, CONRAD E. HAMILTON, MARQUES HARRIS, ANTOINE HARRIS and his wife, FARISHAD HARRIS, CARLTON L. HASELRIG and his wife, MICHELLE HASELRIG, MICHAEL HAYNES, LINCOLN HODGDON, CHRIS HOKE, GREGORY K. HORTON, JUNIOR BURTON IOANE, SCOTT JACKSON, CARLOS E. JENKINS, KEITH JENNINGS, ERIC D. JOHNSON, JOHN V. JOHNSON, BRIAN JONES, ABNER-KIRK "TREY" JUNKINS, ROGER KNIGHT, SCOTT KOOISTRA, THOMAS LEWIS, BRODY LIDDIARD, SAMUEL J. LILLY, IV, CHRISTOPHER J. LIWIENSKI, ANTONIO M. LONDON, DUVAL LOVE, DEREK LOVILLE, MIKE P. McCOY and his wife, KIA McCOY, DAMION McINTOSH, SETH McKINNEY, STEVE McKINNEY, DARREN MICKELL, JAMIR MILLER, TRAVIS MINOR, MEL MITCHELL, III, OTIS MOORE, JR., LARRY NED, RYAN

CIVIL ACTION NO.

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NEUFELD, MATT O'DWYER, PATRICK PASS, JASON PETER, ANTHONY PHILLIPS, GARY LEE PLUMMER, JASON POCIASK, TOMMY POLLEY, KEITH POOLE, CARL POWELL and his wife, SHENICA POWELL, JAMES PRICE, MICHAEL PUCILLO, JONATHAN **QUINN** and his wife, CARISSA QUINN, WILLIS JAKE REED, KRISTOPHER RICHARD, SAMUEL J. ROGERS, CRAIG SAUER, JAMES ("JACE") SAYLER, A.J. SCHABLE, MUHAMMAD SHAMSID-DEEN, JERALD G. SISEMORE and his wife, LISA A. SISEMORE, ZURIEL SMITH, PHILLIPPI D. SPARKS, BILL STALEY and his wife, NONA STALEY, AARON STECKER, BARRY STOKES, DAN STRYZINZKI, DOUG S. THOMAS, HOLLIS THOMAS, JAMES TRAPP, RYAN TUCKER, ZACK WALZ, CHRIS C. WARREN, NATE WEBSTER and his wife, JENNIFER WEBSTER, LARRY WHIGHAM, RANDAL WILLIAMS and his wife, ANABELA GALVAO WILLIAMS, BRIAN S. WILLIAMS, DERRICK WITHERSPOON, ANTHONY WRIGHT and MICHEAL YOUNG.

PLAINTIFFS,

V.

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE, INC.

DEFENDANT.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, Akmal "Hakim" Akbar, et al., sue Defendant, National Football League, Inc. (referred to herein as "NFL"), and state as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This action seeks separate relief for medical monitoring, and seeks compensation and financial recovery for the long-term/chronic injuries, financial losses, expenses and intangible losses suffered by Plaintiffs as a result of Defendant's carelessness, negligence, intentional misconduct and concealment of information directly related to each Plaintiff's injuries, risk of injury and losses. This action further seeks to recover fair compensation for the spouses of certain Plaintiffs listed in this Complaint, based upon their right to seek loss of consortium.
- 2. For over 40 years, and up until the August 4, 2011 Collective Bargaining Agreement with the NFL Players' Association was executed, Defendant and its designated representatives, have continuously and fervently denied that it knew, should have known or believed there to be any relationship between NFL players suffering concussions while playing, the NFL policies concerning tackling methodology or the NFL policies about return-to-play, and long-term physical, neurological, mental and cognitive problems, such as headaches, dizziness, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS a/k/a Lou Gehrig's Disease), dementia and/or Alzheimer's disease, impulse control, anger issues, confusion, depression and/or other neurogenic disorders that many players have experienced.
- 3. These aforementioned denials have been stated in NFL publications, so-called medical studies sponsored by the NFL, testimony of NFL representatives before Congress and statements made to the media in response to reports suggesting a causal connection between concussions and bodily injury.

- 4. For more than 100 years, literature available to the public has posited that traumatic head injuries have a causal connection with many symptoms associated with, and leading to a diagnosis of, ALS. ALS is a disease characterized by a degeneration of the neurons in the brain. Published literature has reported that repeated head trauma is a significant risk factor for neurodegenerative processes, including ALS. The incidence and mortality of ALS is statistically higher in athletes who suffer repeated head trauma.
- 5. In the early 1970s, the NFL became aware of published materials accounting for the rate and seriousness of concussions in the sport of football. Also in the early 1970's, the NFL became aware of the publication of a helmet standard, known as the NOCSAE (National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment) for football helmets, and which was intended to improve the safety of helmets while minimizing the risk of head injury. At the same time, the NFL learned that the NCAA (National Collegiate Athletic Association) and the (NHSFF) National High School Football Federation had both adopted a policy of requiring (beginning in 1978) that all helmets used in their organizations must be approved for sale and comply with the NOCSAE standard. The NFL did not adopt a similar policy at that time.
- 6. Rule makers in the NCAA and NHSFF in the early 1970s recognized that the helmet-facemask combination was contributing to the use of the "protected" head being employed as an offensive weapon. That, in turn, was increasing the incidence of concussions. In 1976, both organizations initiated changes which prohibited initial contact of the head in both blocking and tackling. Also aware of these changes in the rules, and the risks of harm, the NFL failed to take similar action.

- 7. In 1979, the NFL instituted a rule, with an accompanying (albeit inadequate) penalty, for players who were found to have used their helmets to butt, spear or ram an opponent with the crown or top of the helmet. Although done, presumably because of the duty of care owed to the players, the action fell short of the necessary preventative measures that should have been in place years prior to protect the NFL's players. The NFL rule came many years after similar rules were adopted by the NCAA and NHSFF, both of whom recognized the risk of spinal cord injury while engaging in football.
- 8. The NFL's 1979 rule ignored the more prevalent practices in the NFL that directly caused a significantly higher rate of concussions amongst its players. During the 1970s, 1980s and 1990s, NFL players were coached, encouraged, trained and motivated to use all portions of their helmets for blocking, tackling, butting, spearing, ramming and/or injuring their opponents by hitting them with their helmeted-heads. These practices were condoned by the NFL and/or not specifically and significantly condemned by the NFL, despite Defendant's awareness that these practices were increasing the risk of causing concussions among its players.
- 9. Another NFL rule change in 1989 gave referees the authority to eject a player who was observed using his helmet in the manner described in paragraph 8. However, this rule was not strictly enforced by the league. The NFL wanted to keep its fan base excited by the visual exhilaration witnessing such hazardous techniques created for the spectators.
- 10. Despite the NFL's knowledge of such dangerous practices and the increased risk of head injury to the players, the NFL turned a blind eye for decades, and allowed the players to be coached, trained and/or motivated to use any and all portions of their helmets to block, tackle, butt, spear, ram and/or injure opposing players with their helmeted heads. In fact, in 1996, the

NFL promulgated a rule making it a personal foul with potential fines attached, to hit with the helmet. However, the purpose behind the rule was to protect the league's quarterbacks, not to protect all players from head injury caused by dangerous use of the players' helmets. This evidenced a complete lack of regard for the players' safety and the risk of injury. It demonstrated Defendant's selfish desire to keep the fan base entertained and interested in the violence of the sport of football.

- 11. The high incidence of concussions among NFL players has been known to the NFL since the early 1970s. Defendant had knowledge through its supervisory capacity and management role, and through studies it paid for (as set forth more particularly in paragraph 15) that a history of multiple concussions has been associated with players' increased risk of future brain deficits.
- 12. Since the early 1970s, Defendant has known or had reason to know, by way of its supervisory and management roles, that NFL players suffering repeated concussions were more likely to experience evolving symptoms of post-traumatic brain injury including headaches, dizziness, memory loss, impulse control problems, Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy (CTE), dementia, ALS, Alzheimer's disease, etc. Even armed with this knowledge, until August 4, 2011, Defendant continued to deny any connection or correlation between players suffering concussions and long-term chronic brain injury or illness.
- 13. The NFL has actively concealed and/or aggressively disputed any causal connection between concussions in NFL football and brain injury or illness.
- 14. Defendant failed to act reasonably, given the critical knowledge it had, to institute appropriate means to identify the at-risk players, to set forth guidelines or to institute rules

concerning return-to-play criteria in order to combat the devastating effects of helmeted-head techniques. Because of the glaring breach of duty, Defendant increased the risk of long-term injury and illness to its players.

- 15. As part of the NFL's ongoing cover up and denial of any causal link between concussions and long-term health consequences, Defendant disputed the findings of a scientific study that Defendant actually funded. On September 30, 2009, newspaper accounts were published detailing a study (unreleased) commissioned by the NFL to assess the health and wellbeing of its retired players. The study found that retired players reported being diagnosed with dementia and other memory-related diseases at a rate much higher than that of the general population. Specifically, the study found that 6.1 percent of retired NFL players age 50 or older reported being diagnosed with dementia, Alzheimer's disease and other memory related illnesses, compared with 1.2 percent for all comparably aged men in the United States. Despite the findings of this study, Defendant was quick to dispute the findings and continue with its mantra that there is no evidence connecting concussions, concussion-like symptoms, NFL football and long-term brain injury or illness.
- 16. For many decades before June of 2010, Defendant voluntarily and repeatedly made material misrepresentations to its players, former players, the United States Congress, and the public at large that there was no link (or an insufficient scientific link) between repetitive traumatic head impacts and/or concussions and later in life cognitive/brain injury, including CTE and its related symptoms.
- 17. As a result of Defendant's material misrepresentations and continuing concealment, the Plaintiffs did not have a reasonable basis to know of a relationship between the misconduct of

Defendant and the players' respective neuro-cognitive symptoms, or the potential for problems in the future, before July/August 2011.

- 18. Between the early 1970s and sometime after September 30, 2009, the NFL ignored repeated warnings, and patterns of injury, that only it was privy to in its management capacity. That information was concealed by Defendant information concerning the devastating effects that on-the-field concussions, and the NFL's own return-to-play policies, were having on the players in terms of causing lasting, chronic mental defects and brain injuries.
- 19. Over the past 4 decades, Defendant has actively concealed and aggressively disputed any correlation between on the field concussions, its own return-to-play policies and the chronic mental illnesses and physical maladies suffered by its players. During those same decades, the NFL disputed and actively sought to suppress the findings of others that there is a connection between on-field head injury and post-career mental/physical illnesses.
- 20. Despite its knowledge of the grave risks that players have been exposed to because of Defendant's gross inaction and/or concealment of safety information, Defendant carelessly failed to take reasonable measures to develop appropriate and necessary steps to alert players to their risks of debilitating long-term illnesses.
- 21. Despite its knowledge of the grave risks that players have been exposed to because of Defendant's gross inaction and/or concealment of safety information, Defendant carelessly failed to take reasonable steps to develop appropriate and necessary guidelines for return-to-play following a concussion. These omissions either caused or increased the likelihood that Plaintiffs would suffer repeated concussions and long-term injury, illness and/or disability.

- 22. Defendant's relationship with Plaintiffs included a scheme to conceal information and facts it knew regarding the risks of long-term injuries/illnesses associated with players suffering concussions, the inappropriate time to return-to-play and other gross errors set forth herein.
- 23. Defendant failed to establish proper and adequate methodology to monitor and detect when players suffer concussive or sub-concussive injuries in practice or game play. This failure increased the risk of injuries that have materialized (see referenced above) or will materialize in the future.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 24. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1711, 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and other pertinent federal statutes. This is an action for medical monitoring under the common and statutory laws of the State of New York and individual damage recovery for Plaintiffs and certain Plaintiffs' spouses based upon negligence and concealment in the inducement under the laws of the State of New York, and of the other states of the United States. The amount in controversy is greater than the minimum dollar value required by law.
- 25. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1301 (a)(2) and 1391 (b)(2) as a substantial part of the events and/or omissions giving rise to the claims emanated from activities within this jurisdiction and Defendant conducts substantial business in this jurisdiction.

PARTIES

26. Plaintiff, Akmal "Hakim" Akbar, is an individual residing in California. His mailing address is P.O. Box 1088 Long Beach, CA 90801. Mr. Akbar played in the NFL from 2001-2004.

- 27. Plaintiff, Terry T. Allen, is an individual residing at 6555 Sugarloaf Parkway, Suite # 307-164, Duluth, GA 30097. Mr. Allen played in the NFL from 1991–2001.
- 28. Plaintiffs, Mike Banks and his wife, Tanya Banks, are individuals residing at 3215 146th Street, Urbandale, IA 50323. Mr. Banks played in the NFL from 2002-2003.
- 29. Plaintiff, Brett Basanez, is an individual residing at 12421 Chase Street, Garden Grove, CA 92845. Mr. Basanez played in the NFL from 2006-2010.
- 30. Plaintiff, Patrick J. Bates, is an individual residing at 2745 N. Collins #231, Arlington, TX 76006. Mr. Bates played in the NFL from 1993-1996.
- 31. Plaintiff, Marco Battaglia, is an individual residing at 6016 75th Street, Middle Village, NY 11379. Mr. Battaglia played in the NFL from 1996-2003.
- 32. Plaintiff, Peter Bercich, is an individual residing at 17448 Honeysuckle Avenue, Lakeville, MN 55044. Mr. Bercich played in the NFL from 1995-2000.
- Plaintiff, Josh Bidwell, is an individual residing at 1380 W. 40th Avenue, Eugene, OR 97405. Mr. Bidwell played in the NFL from 1999-2010.
- 34. Plaintiff, Ryon Bingham, is an individual residing at 223 East Oak Lane, Farmington, UT 84025. Mr. Bingham played in the NFL from 2004-2010.
- 35. Plaintiff, Ruben Scott Blanton, is an individual residing at 4937 Chaps Avenue, Keller, TX 76248. Mr. Blanton played in the NFL from 1996-1998.
- 36. Plaintiff, Ryan Boschetti, is an individual residing at 4404 Yeales Court, Rancho Cordova, CA 95742. Mr. Boschetti played in the NFL from 2004-2009.

- Plaintiff, Michael J. Boulware, is an individual residing at 150 Woodcreek Road, Elgin,SC 29045. Mr. Boulware played in the NFL from 2004-2009.
- 38. Plaintiff, Robert Buczkowski, is an individual residing at 4515 Northern Pike, Monroeville, PA 15146. Mr. Buczkowski played in the NFL from 1986-1990.
- 39. Plaintiff, Glenn Cadrez, is an individual residing at 1294 Mariposa Road, Carlsbad, CA 92011. Mr. Cadrez played in the NFL from 1992-2002.
- 40. Plaintiff, Jerome Carter, is an individual residing at 582 SW Emerald Street, Lake City, FL 32024. Mr. Carter played in the NFL from 2005-2009.
- 41. Plaintiff, Antoine Cash, is an individual residing at 1400 Lake Polo Drive, Odessa, FL 33556. Mr. Cash played in the NFL from 2005-2007.
- 42. Plaintiff, Vinny Ciurciu, is an individual residing at 21 Masar Road, Boonton, NJ 07005.

 Mr. Ciurciu played in the NFL from 2003-2010.
- 43. Plaintiff, Kenneth Clark, is an individual residing at 8590 River Walk Landing, Suwannee, GA 30024. Mr. Clark played in the NFL from 1990-1992.
- Plaintiffs, Michael Cloud and his wife, Jennifer Cloud, are individuals who reside at 5126 Miller Avenue, Dallas, TX 75206. Mr. Cloud played in the NFL from 1999-2005.
- 45. Plaintiff, Quentin Coryatt, is an individual residing at 611 Canon Lane, Sugar Land, TX 77479. Mr. Coryatt played in the NFL from 1992-1999.
- 46. Plaintiff, Earl C. Dotson, is an individual residing in Texas. His mailing address is P.O. Box 1120, Kingsville, TX 78364. Mr. Dotson played in the NFL from 1993-2002.

- 47. Plaintiff, Dovonte Edwards, is an individual residing at 13824 Mallard Lake Road, Charlotte, NC 28262. Mr. Edwards played in the NFL from 2005-2008.
- 48. Plaintiff, John Elliott, is an individual residing at 17 Fieldstone Lane, Oyster Bay, NY 11771. Mr. Elliott played in the NFL from 1967-1973.
- 49. Plaintiff, Mark Fields, is an individual residing at 887 W. Palo Brea Drive, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340. Mr. Fields played in the NFL from 1995-2004.
- 50. Plaintiff, Lorenzo Freeman, is an individual residing at 895 Freeport Road, New Kensington, PA 15068. Mr. Freeman played in the NFL from 1987-1991.
- 51. Plaintiff, Kelvin Garmon, is an individual residing at 1424 Creekview, Lewisville, TX 75067. Mr. Garmon played in the NFL from 1999-2004.
- 52. Plaintiffs, Robert Hunter Goodwin and his wife, Amber Lynn Goodwin, are individuals residing at 1011 Lyceum Court, College Station, TX 77840. Mr. Goodwin played in the NFL from 1996-2003.
- 53. Plaintiff, Gaston Green, is an individual residing at 5805 State Bridge Road G436, Duluth, GA 30097. Mr. Green played in the NFL from 1988-1992.
- 54. Plaintiff, Conrad E. Hamilton, is an individual residing at 19619 N. 35th Place, Phoenix, AZ 85050. Mr. Hamilton played in the NFL from 1996-2001.
- 55. Plaintiff, Marques Harris, is an individual residing at 11774 S. Rock Willow Way, Parker, CO 80134. Mr. Harris played in the NFL from 2005-2009.

- 56. Plaintiffs, Antoine Harris and his wife, Farishad Harris, are individuals residing at 1966 Jameson Circle, Lawrenceville, GA 30043. Mr. Harris played in the NFL from 2005-2010.
- 57. Plaintiffs, Carlton I. Haselrig and his wife, Michelle Haselrig, are individuals residing at 810 Fronheiser Street, Johnstown, PA 15902. Mr. Haselrig played in the NFL from 1990-1995.
- 58. Plaintiff, Michael Haynes, is an individual residing at 805 Rosebud Road, Burleson, TX 76028. Mr. Haynes played in the NFL from 2003-2007.
- 59. Plaintiff, Lincoln Hodgdon, is an individual residing at 4812 La Branch #6, Houston, TX 77004. Mr. Hodgdon played in the NFL from 2005-2007.
- 60. Plaintiff, Chris Hoke, is an individual residing at 121 Cardinal Circle, Pittsburgh, PA 15237. Mr. Hoke played in the NFL from 2001-2011.
- Plaintiff, Gregory K. Horton, is an individual residing at 1053 Lytle Street, Redlands, CA 92374. Mr. Horton played in the NFL from 1976-1980.
- 62. Plaintiff, Junior Burton Ioane, is an individual residing at 1475 W. Park Meadows Drive, Mapleton, UT 84664. Mr. Ioane played in the NFL from 2000-2005.
- 63. Plaintiff, Scott Jackson, is an individual residing at 1610 Jamestown Drive, Charlottesville, VA 22901. Mr. Jackson played in the NFL from 2004-2009.
- 64. Plaintiff, Carlos E. Jenkins, is an individual residing at 2021 N. Lemans Blvd. #7413, Tampa, FL 33607. Mr. Jenkins played in the NFL from 1991-1996.
- 65. Plaintiff, Keith Jennings, is an individual residing at 234 Joseph Street, Central, SC 29630. Mr. Jennings played in the NFL from 1989-1997.

- 66. Plaintiff, Eric D. Johnson, is an individual residing at 1548 Wood Iris Way, Lawrenceville, GA 30045. Mr. Johnson played in the NFL from 2000-2005.
- 67. Plaintiff, John V. Johnson, is an individual residing at 518 St. Helena Court, Roebuck, SC 29376. Mr. Johnson played in the NFL from 1991-1995.
- 68. Plaintiff, Brian Jones, is an individual residing at 2501 Wickersham Lane #2022, Austin, TX 78741. Mr. Jones played in the NFL from 2004-2007.
- 69. Plaintiff, Abner-Kirk "Trey" Junkins, is an individual residing at 300 Wren Street, Winnifield, LA 71483. Mr. Junkins played in the NFL from 1983-2002.
- 70. Plaintiff, Roger Knight, is an individual residing at 929 Fly Wheel Circle, De Forest, WI 53532. Mr. Knight played in the NFL from 2001-2004.
- 71. Plaintiff, Scott Kooistra, is an individual residing at 106 Overlook Drive, Loveland, OH 45140. Mr. Kooistra played in the NFL from 2003-2011.
- 72. Plaintiff, Thomas Lewis, is an individual residing at 1545 E. Villa Theresa, Phoenix, AZ 85022. Mr. Lewis played in the NFL from 1994-1998.
- 73. Plaintiff, Brody Liddiard, is an individual residing at 2174 Preston Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84106. Mr. Liddiard played in the NFL from 2000-2003.
- 74. Plaintiff, Samuel J. Lilly, IV, is an individual residing at 125 Dewey Avenue, Martinez, GA 30907. Mr. Lilly played in the NFL from 1989-1992.
- 75. Plaintiff, Christopher J. Liwienski, is an individual residing at 6721 Pointe Lake Lucy, Chanhassen, MN 55317. Mr. Liwienski played in the NFL from 1998-2008.

- 76. Plaintiff, Antonio M. London, is an individual residing at 108 Oak Forest Way, Pelham, AL 35124. Mr. London played in the NFL from 1993-1998.
- 77. Plaintiff, Duval Love, is an individual residing at 47 Contrada Fiore Drive, Henderson, NV 89011. Mr. Love played in the NFL from 1985-1996.
- 78. Plaintiff, Derek Loville, is an individual residing at 8895 E. Wood Drive, Scottsdale, AZ 85260. Mr. Loville played in the NFL from 1990-1998.
- 79. Plaintiffs, Mike P. McCoy and his wife, Kia McCoy, are individuals residing at 2224 Cotton Gin Row, Jefferson, GA 30549. Mr. McCoy played in the NFL from 1970-1980.
- 80. Plaintiff, Damion McIntosh, is an individual residing at 1221 SW Summit Crossing Drive, Lees Summit, MO 64081. Mr. McIntosh played in the NFL from 2000-2009.
- 81. Plaintiff, Seth McKinney, is an individual residing at 2403 Crown Court, College Station, TX 77845. Mr. McKinney played in the NFL from 2002-2009.
- 82. Plaintiff, Steve McKinney, is an individual residing at 138 Monarch Park Drive, Montgomery, TX 77316. Mr. McKinney played in the NFL from 1998-2008.
- 83. Plaintiff, Darren Mickell, is an individual residing at 9250 Chelsea Drive, Miramar, FL 33025. Mr. Mickell played in the NFL from 1992-2001.
- 84. Plaintiff, Jamir Miller, is an individual residing at 6717 E. Meadow Lark Lane, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253. Mr. Miller played in the NFL from 1994-2002.
- 85. Plaintiff, Travis Minor, is an individual residing in Florida. His mailing address is P.O. Box 1635, Hallandale, FL 33008. Mr. Minor played in the NFL from 2001-2008.

- 86. Plaintiff, Mel Mitchell, III, is an individual residing at 5811 Waterford Blvd., New Orleans, LA 70127. Mr. Mitchell played in the NFL from 2002-2007.
- 87. Plaintiff, Otis Moore, Jr., is an individual residing at 2537 Lytham Drive, Charlotte, NC 28210. Mr. Moore played in the NFL in 1990.
- 88. Plaintiff, Larry Ned, is an individual residing at 6320 Whitsett Avenue #5, North Hollywood, CA 91606. Mr. Ned played in the NFL from 2003-2004.
- 89. Plaintiff, Ryan Neufeld, is an individual residing at 1449 Overlook Drive, Frisco, TX 75034. Mr. Neufeld played in the NFL from 1999-2007.
- 90. Plaintiff, Matt O'Dwyer, is an individual residing at 10609 Low Oak Terrace, Thonotosassa, FL 33592. Mr. O'Dwyer played in the NFL from 1995-2004.
- 91. Plaintiff, Patrick Pass, is an individual residing at 2 Tomcat Terrace, North Providence, RI 02911. Mr. Pass played in the NFL from 2000-2009.
- 92. Plaintiff, Jason Peter, is an individual residing in Nebraska. His mailing address is P.O. Box 505, Lincoln, NE 68521. Mr. Peter played in the NFL from 1998-2001.
- 93. Plaintiff, Anthony Phillips, is an individual residing at 2860 South 9th, Apt. 8, Kalamazoo, MI 49009. Mr. Phillips played in the NFL from 1994-1998.
- 94. Plaintiff, Gary Lee Plummer, is an individual residing at 10374 Rue Cranberry, San Diego, CA 92131. Mr. Plummer played in the NFL from 1986-1997.
- 95. Plaintiff, Jason Pociask, is an individual residing at 1650 NE 55th Street, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33334. Mr. Pociask played in the NFL from 2006-2010.

- 96. Plaintiff, Tommy Polley, is an individual residing at 1311 Cranesville Court, Apt. 203, Belcamp, MD 21017. Mr. Polley played in the NFL from 2001-2006.
- 97. Plaintiff, Keith Poole, is an individual residing at 725 N. Dobson Road, #113, Chandler, AZ 85224. Mr. Poole played in the NFL from 1997-2001.
- 98. Plaintiffs, Carl Powell and his wife, Shenica Powell, are individuals residing at 6436 Shady Oak Lane, Mason, OH 45040. Mr. Powell played in the NFL from 1997-2005.
- 99. Plaintiff, James Price, is an individual residing at10997 N. 100th Street, Scottsdale, AZ 85260. Mr. Price played in the NFL from 1991-1995.
- 100. Plaintiff, Michael Pucillo, is an individual residing at 9402 Council Rock Court, Riverview, FL 33578. Mr. Pucillo played in the NFL from 2002-2007.
- Plaintiffs, Jonathan Quinn and his wife, Carissa Quinn, are individuals residing at 8409
 W. 145th Terrace, Overland Park, KS 66223. Mr. Quinn played in the NFL from 1998-2004.
- 102. Plaintiff, Willis Jake Reed, is an individual residing at 5002 Apache Circle, Frisco, TX 75034. Mr. Reed played in the NFL from 1991-2002.
- 103. Plaintiff, Kristopher Richard, is an individual residing at 6203 156th Avenue NE, Redmond, WA 98052. Mr. Richard played in the NFL from 2002-2006.
- 104. Plaintiff, Samuel J. Rogers, is an individual residing at 11117 Ardath Avenue, Ingelwood, CA 90303. Mr. Rogers played in the NFL from 1994-2003.
- 105. Plaintiff, Craig Sauer, is an individual residing at 33401 Shorewood Drive, Avon, MN 56310. Mr. Sauer played in the NFL from 1996-2000.

- 106. Plaintiff, James "Jace" Sayler, is an individual residing at 117 S. Draper Road, McHenry, IL 60050. Mr. Sayler played in the NFL from 2001-2003.
- 107. Plaintiff, A.J. Schable, is an individual residing at 307 N. 4th Street, Beresford, SD 57004. Mr. Schable played in the NFL from 2006-2011.
- 108. Plaintiff, Muhammad Shamsid-Deen, is an individual residing at 356 Langshire Drive, McDonough, GA 30253. Mr. Shamsid-Deen played in the NFL in 1992.
- 109. Plaintiffs, Jerald G. Sisemore and his wife, Lisa A. Sisemore, are individuals residing at 17301 Whippourwill Trail, Lago Vista, TX 78645. Mr. Sisemore played in the NFL from 1973-1984.
- 110. Plaintiff, Zuriel Smith, is an individual residing at 8133 Crown Colony Parkway, Mechanicsville, VA 23116. Mr. Zuriel played in the NFL from 2003-2006.
- 111. Plaintiff, Phillippi D. Sparks, is an individual residing at 19 Mount Prospect Avenue, Verona, NJ 07044. Mr. Sparks played in the NFL from 1992-2000.
- 112. Plaintiffs, Bill Staley and his wife, Nona Staley, are individuals residing at 9210 Todd Road, Potter Valley, CA 95469. Mr. Staley played in the NFL from 1968-1972.
- 113. Plaintiff, Aaron Stecker, is an individual residing at 297 Stonecliff Aisle, Irving, CA 92603. Mr. Stecker played in the NFL from 1999-2009.
- 114. Plaintiff, Barry Stokes, is an individual residing at 3120 Oakhill Place, Clarkston, MI 48348. Mr. Stokes played in the NFL from 1996-2009.

- 115. Plaintiff, Dan Stryzinzki, is an individual residing at 180 E. Meadows Court, Alpharetta, GA 30005. Mr. Stryzinzki played in the NFL from 1988-2003.
- 116. Plaintiff, Doug S. Thomas, is an individual residing at 11920 James Richard Drive, Charlotte, NC 28277. Mr. Thomas played in the NFL from 1991-1993.
- 117. Plaintiff, Hollis Thomas, is an individual residing at 5957 McLead Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89120. Mr. Thomas played in the NFL from 1996-2009.
- 118. Plaintiff, James Trapp, is an individual residing in South Carolina. His mailing address is P.O. Box 441, Clemson, SC 29633. Mr. Trapp played in the NFL from 1993-2003.
- 119. Plaintiff, Ryan Tucker, is an individual residing at 24752 Eagle Pointe, Columbia Station, OH 44028. Mr. Tucker played in the NFL from 1997-2009.
- 120. Plaintiff., Zack Walz, is an individual residing at 6270 E. Wilshire Drive, Scottsdale, AZ 85257. Mr. Walz played in the NFL from 1998-2001.
- 121. Plaintiff, Chris C. Warren, is an individual residing at 5821 Inman Park Circle, #140, Rockville, MD 20852. Mr. Warren played in the NFL from 1990-2000.
- 122. Plaintiffs, Nate Webster and his wife, Jennifer Webster, are individuals residing at 9811 Farmstead Drive, Loveland, OH 45140. Mr. Webster played in the NFL from 2000-2008.
- 123. Plaintiff, Larry Whigham, is an individual residing at 6918 Caribou Creek, San Antonio, TX 78244. Mr. Whigham played in the NFL from 1994-2002.

- 124. Plaintiffs, Randal Williams and his wife, Anabela Galvao Williams, are individuals residing at 5524 Lake Geneva Court, Flower Mound, TX 75022. Mr. Williams played in the NFL from 2001-2006.
- 125. Plaintiff, Brian S. Williams, is an individual residing at 1725 Charleston Lane, Waconia, MN 55387. Mr. Williams played in the NFL from 1989-1999.
- 126. Plaintiff, Derrick Witherspoon, is an individual residing at 4004 Carolina Bay, Moncks Corner, SC 29461. Mr. Witherspoon played in the NFL from 1995-1997.
- 127. Plaintiff, Anthony Wright, is an individual residing at 10044 Legolas Lane, Charlotte, NC 28269. Mr. Wright played in the NFL from 1999-2008.
- 128. Plaintiff, Micheal Young, is an individual residing at 16661 Marcross Court, Chesterfield, MO 63005. Mr. Young played in the NFL from 2001-2003.
- 129. Defendant, National Football League, Inc. is a business entity with principal offices located at 280 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10017.

COUNT I CONCEALMENT

- 130. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 131. Defendant concealed facts and information which caused all Plaintiffs to become exposed to the harm referenced previously in this Complaint.

- 132. As a proximate cause of the concealment by Defendant, each Plaintiff-Player was caused to suffer harm described previously herein, each has suffered damages that are continuing in nature, or may suffer damages, and all damages have yet to be fully realized.
- 133. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs hereby demand from Defendant an amount to be determined at trial, plus interest and costs.

COUNT II CIVIL CONSPIRACY

- 134. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 135. Defendant actively, aggressively and deliberately conspired with its team members and/or independent contractors who were directed to continuously discount and reject the causal connection between multiple concussions suffered while playing in the NFL, a non-scientific return-to-play policy for players suffering concussions and the chronic long-term effects of those head injuries.
- 136. This conduct between Defendant and the other team members was a proximate cause of the chronic injuries, illnesses and damages suffered by Plaintiff-players.
- 137. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs hereby demand damages from Defendant in an amount to be determined at trail, plus interest and costs.

COUNT III NEGLIGENCE

- 138. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 139. Defendant assumed a duty toward its players, including Plaintiffs, to supervise, regulate, monitor and provide reasonable and appropriate rules and guidelines aimed to minimize injury to the players.
- 140. Defendant acted carelessly and negligently in its position as the regulatory body for all the team members. Defendant knew or should have known that its actions, or inactions, in light of the rate and extent of concussions reported in the NFL, would cause harm in both the short and long-term to its players.
- 141. Defendant was generally careless, reckless and negligent by breaching the duty of due care it had assumed for the players, including Plaintiffs. Further, Defendant was careless, reckless and negligent in the following particular ways:
 - a. Failing to warn of the risk of unreasonable harm resulting from repeated concussions;
 - b. Failing to disclose the special risks of long-term complications from repeated concussions and return-to-play;
 - Failing to disclose the role that repeated concussions have in causing chronic long-term cognitive decline and deficiency;
 - Failing to institute rules and regulations to adequately address the dangers of repeated concussions and a return-to-play policy to minimize long-term chronic cognitive problems;

- e. Misrepresenting pertinent facts that players needed to be aware of to make decisions concerning their own safety with respect to return-to-play;
- f. Concealing pertinent facts and information;
- g. Failing to adopt rules and effectively and reasonably enforce those rules to minimize the risk of players suffering debilitating concussions; and
- h. Other acts of negligence, recklessness and/or carelessness that may materialize during the pendency of this action.

COUNT IV DAMAGES – FOR THE INJURED PLAYERS AND THEIR SPOUSES

- 142. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 143. Certain named Plaintiffs have suffered past medical problems, and will in all likelihood incur future medically related costs associated with the harm suffered and injuries/illnesses referenced herein.
- 144. Certain named Plaintiffs have suffered a loss of earnings, and may in the future suffer a loss of earnings capacity associated with the harm suffered and injuries/illnesses referenced herein.
- 145. Certain named Plaintiffs have suffered in the past from an assortment of problems associated with the harm and injuries described herein, including, but not limited to, headaches,

dizziness, loss of memory, dementia, depression, impulse control, impulsivity to anger, cognitive dysfunction, employment impairment, physical activity limitations, embarrassment, loss of the pleasures of life, etc.

- 146. As a result of the foregoing, certain named Plaintiffs have suffered actual damages and will continue to suffer in the future, because of Defendant's misconduct. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 147. Pursuant to common law, Plaintiff-Spouses seek to recover, and are entitled to recover, for loss of consortium, both past and future, for the harm to their relationship with their husband-players.

COUNT V MEDICAL MONITORING

- 148. Plaintiffs adopt and incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 149. Plaintiffs have been exposed to a greater risk of concussions and sub-concussions, which then have increased their risk of suffering long-term injuries and illnesses as set forth above.
- 150. Plaintiffs, some of whom have yet to begin to evidence the long-term physical and mental effects of Defendant's misconduct, require specialized testing that is not generally given to the public at large, for the early detection of the long-term effects of concussions and subconcussions.

- 151. The available monitoring regime is specific for individuals exposed to concussions and sub-concussions, and different from that normally recommended in the absence of exposure to this risk of harm.
- 152. The available monitoring regime is reasonably necessary according to modern scientific principles and those within the medical community who specialize in close head injuries, and their connection to memory loss, early onset dementia, ALS, CTE and Alzheimer's-like diseases.
- 153. By monitoring and testing Plaintiffs who are suspected to have suffered concussions or sub-concussions, or who will suffer from same in the future, it can be determined whether each player is sufficiently healthy to return-to-play and/or it will significantly reduce each player's risk of developing long-term injuries, diseases and losses described herein.
- 154. Until now Defendant has failed to properly, reasonably and safely monitor, test or otherwise study whether, and when, a player has suffered a concussion or sub-concussion, to minimize the risk of long-term injury and illness, medical monitoring is the most appropriate method by which to determine whether a Plaintiff is now at risk.
- 155. Accordingly, Defendant should be required to establish a medical monitoring program that includes, *inter alia*:
 - a. Establishing a trust fund, in an amount to be determined, to pay for the medical monitoring of Plaintiffs;
 - b. Notifying the Plaintiffs in writing regarding the specific regime recommended, and the need for, and importance of, frequent medical monitoring; and

- c. Providing information to treating team physicians, other physicians and team members to aid them in detecting concussions and sub-concussions, and to assist them in determining when the player is subjected to an increased risk of harm.
- 156. Medical monitoring is appropriate because: (1) the exposure to concussions and subconcussions, and their related ramifications, are greater than normal background levels; (2) the
 harm was the result of the creation of subpar techniques and/or the failure to create proper and/or
 adequate techniques; (3) which were promoted or the direct result of Defendant's failure to
 institute and follow safety policies it knew or should have known about; (4) as a proximate result
 of the exposure to the aforementioned harm, Plaintiffs have an increased risk of developing
 serious and potentially life-threatening latent neurogenic disease processes caused by head
 trauma; (5) a monitoring procedure exists to detect evolving neurogenic deficits including, but
 not limited to, dementia, permanent memory loss and other life altering diseases and illnesses;
 (6) the prescribed monitoring regime is different from that normally recommended in the
 absence of exposure; and (7) the prescribed monitoring regime is reasonably necessary according
 to scientific principles and according to those within the medical community who specialize in
 close head trauma.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 157. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and their spouses, pray for judgment as follows:
 - A. An award of compensatory damages, the amount of which will be determined at trial;
 - B. An award of prejudgment interest and costs; and
 - C. An award of such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMANDED

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.

Larry E. Coben, Esquire (I.D. 17523)

Sol H. Weiss, Esquire (I.D. 15925)

Julie P. Thompson, Esquire (I.D. 91418)

ANAPOL SCHWARTZ, P.C.

1710 Spruce Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

(215) 735-1130 Telephone

Attorneys for Plaintiffs