

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE NATIONAL FOOTBALL	:	
LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION	:	No. 2:12-md-02323-AB
INJURY LITIGATION	:	
	:	MDL No. 2323
	:	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO	:	
	:	
All Actions	:	
	:	

PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED MASTER ADMINISTRATIVE LONG-FORM COMPLAINT

Dated: July 17, 2012

Jeannine Kenney (PA # 307635)
HAUSFELD LLP
1604 Locust Street
Second Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 985-3270
Facsimile: (215) 985-3271
jkenney@hausfeldllp.com

*Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel on Behalf of
All Undersigned Counsel of Record*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION.....	1
JURISDICTION AND VENUE.....	7
PARTIES.....	7
GENERAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS AGAINST THE NFL DEFENDANTS.....	10
The NFL’s Influence.....	10
The NFL Has Mythologized Violence Through the Media	11
The NFL Markets and Glorifies Football’s Violence Through NFL Films	12
Head Injuries, Concussions, and Neurological Damage.....	15
The NFL Was and Is in a Superior Position of Knowledge and Authority and Owed a Duty to Players.....	18
The NFL Knew the Dangers and Risks Associated With Repetitive Head Impacts and Concussions.....	23
The NFL Voluntarily Undertook the Responsibility of Studying Head Impacts in Football, Yet Fraudulently Concealed Their Long-Term Effects.....	32
The Congressional Inquiry and The NFL’s Acknowledgement of the Concussion Crisis	44
The NFL’s New Committee.....	48
COUNT I: ACTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF – LIABILITY (Against the NFL).....	49
COUNT II: MEDICAL MONITORING	50
(Against the NFL)	
COUNT III: WRONGFUL DEATH AND SURVIVAL ACTIONS (Against the NFL).....	53

COUNT IV: FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT
 (Against the NFL)54

COUNT V: FRAUD
 (Against the NFL)57

COUNT VI: NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION
 (Against the NFL)59

COUNT VII: NEGLIGENCE: PRE-1968 CONDUCT OF THE NFL
 (Against the NFL)62

COUNT VIII: NEGLIGENCE: POST-1968 CONDUCT OF THE NFL
 (Against the NFL)64

COUNT IX: NEGLIGENCE: CONDUCT OF THE NFL (BETWEEN 1987 AND 1993)
 (Against the NFL)66

COUNT X: NEGLIGENCE: POST-1994 CONDUCT OF THE NFL
 (Against the NFL)67

COUNT XI: LOSS OF CONSORTIUM
 (Against the NFL Defendants and/or the Riddell Defendants).....69

COUNT XII: NEGLIGENT HIRING
 (Against the NFL)70

COUNT XIII: NEGLIGENT RETENTION
 (Against the NFL)71

ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THE RIDDELL DEFENDANTS73

The Riddell Defendants’ Duty to Protect Against the Long-
 Term Risk of Concussions.....76

COUNT XIV: STRICT LIABILITY FOR DESIGN DEFECT
 (Against the Riddell Defendants)77

COUNT XV: STRICT LIABILITY FOR MANUFACTURING DEFECT
 (Against the Riddell Defendants)79

COUNT XVI: FAILURE TO WARN
 (Against the Riddell Defendants)80

COUNT XVII: NEGLIGENCE
 (Against the Riddell Defendants)81

COUNT XVIII: CIVIL CONSPIRACY/FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT (Against the NFL Defendants)	82
PRAYER FOR RELIEF	82
JURY DEMAND	83

The Plaintiffs, by and through multiple undersigned counsel, bring this Master Administrative Long-Form Complaint against the Defendants, the National Football League (“NFL”) and NFL Properties LLC (“NFL Properties”) (collectively hereinafter “NFL Defendants”), Riddell, Inc. (d/b/a Riddell Sports Group, Inc.), All American Sports Corporation, d/b/a Riddell/All American, Riddell Sports Group, Inc., Easton-Bell Sports, Inc., Easton-Bell Sports, LLC, and EB Sports Corp., RBG Holdings Corp. (collectively “Riddell Defendants”), and allege, upon facts and information and belief, except for the allegations concerning Plaintiff’s own actions, as follows.

INTRODUCTION

1. This case seeks a declaration of liability, injunctive relief, medical monitoring, and financial compensation for the long-term chronic injuries, financial losses, expenses, and intangible losses suffered by the Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs’ Spouses as a result of the Defendants’ intentional tortious misconduct, including fraud, intentional misrepresentation, and negligence.

2. This action arises from the pathological and debilitating effects of mild traumatic brain injuries (referenced herein as “MTBI”) caused by the concussive and sub-concussive impacts that have afflicted former professional football players in the NFL. For many decades, evidence has linked repetitive MTBI to long-term neurological problems in many sports, including football. The NFL, as the organizer, marketer, and face of the most popular sport in the United States, in which MTBI is a regular occurrence and in which players are at risk for MTBI, was aware of the evidence and the risks associated with repetitive traumatic brain injuries virtually at the inception, but deliberately ignored and actively concealed the information from the Plaintiffs and all others who participated in organized football at all levels.

3. The published medical literature, as detailed later in this Complaint, contains studies of athletes dating back as far as 1928 demonstrating a scientifically observed link between repetitive

blows to the head and neuro-cognitive problems. The earliest studies focused on boxers, but by the 1950s and 1960s, a substantial body of medical and scientific evidence had been developed specifically relating to neuro-cognitive injuries in the sport of football.

4. Since the NFL's inception in the first half of the 20th Century, the NFL has been aware of the growing body of scientific evidence and its compelling conclusions that professional football players who sustain repetitive MTBI during their careers are at greater risk for chronic neuro-cognitive illness and disabilities both during their football careers and later in life.

5. Notwithstanding that it was aware of this body of scientific evidence, the NFL ignored, minimized, disputed, and actively suppressed broader awareness of the link between sub-concussive and concussive injuries in football and the chronic neuro-cognitive damage, illnesses, and decline suffered by former players, including the Plaintiffs.

6. Since its inception, the NFL has recognized, acknowledged and acted in a monopolistic manner, intent on controlling and regulating every aspect of the game of professional football, particularly with respect to player safety and health. The NFL has used this authority to compel all NFL players and participants to follow the policies, rules, and regulations the NFL has enacted and imposed. As the governing body of professional football, the NFL has held itself out as the guardian and authority on the issue of player safety and has unilaterally shouldered for itself a common law duty to provide players with rules and information that protect them as much as possible from short-term and long-term health risks.

7. The NFL's role as the guardian of player health and safety began in the 1930s, continued throughout the 1940s, 1950s and 1960s, and continues up through the present day. The NFL has exercised that role through its unilateral decisions to issue rules to improve upon NFL football's public acceptance, to make a profit, and to address issues of player safety. During these decades, the

NFL voluntarily provided teams and players with information and regulations that directly affected the short and long term health of NFL players, including the Plaintiffs.

8. Despite the NFL's assumption of this responsibility, the NFL was negligent and failed to carry out this duty in that it failed to inform NFL players of the risks associated with MTBI and/or it was willfully blind to the medically proven fact that repetitive MTBI would lead to neuro-cognitive injuries in many NFL players, including the Plaintiffs. Further, the NFL actively suppressed and kept secret information about MTBI it knew would change the economics of the game and the health of players such as the plaintiffs.

9. The NFL, like the sport of boxing, was aware of the health risks associated with repetitive blows producing sub-concussive and concussive results and the fact that some members of the NFL player population were at significant risk of developing long-term brain damage and cognitive decline as a result. Despite its knowledge and controlling role in governing player conduct on and off the field, the NFL turned a blind eye to the risk and failed to warn and/or impose safety regulations governing this health and safety problem.

10. While the NFL has assumed voluntarily its role as the unilateral guardian of player safety, and NFL players and their families, including the Plaintiffs, have looked to the NFL for guidance on player safety issues, the NFL has exacerbated the health risk to players by promoting the game's violence and lauding players for returning to play despite being rendered unconscious and/or disoriented due to their exposure to sub-concussive and concussive forces.

11. In its supervisory role, as well as in its position as arbiter of all aspects of professional football, the NFL has, since its inception, unilaterally and voluntarily chosen how to spend its funds to investigate and regulate many different circumstances affecting player health and safety, including, but

not limited to, requiring players to wear certain equipment, designating some player gear as illegal, and ultimately deciding what helmet brand should be recognized as the official equipment of the NFL.

12. During the decades of the 1970s and 1980s, the NFL was aware of publications in the medical science community that established that concussive and sub-concussive injuries to athletes and the general population were a significant risk factor for short-term and long-term neuro-cognitive health complications, both as single incidents and particularly as repetitive impacts. During these decades, the NFL voluntarily participated, albeit inadequately, in the work of various entities studying the performance and effectiveness of safety gear to reduce the risk of neurological injury. The NFL's participation in these activities was voluntary and a continuance of the historic duty it had assumed in the first half of the twentieth century.

13. By the early 1990s, the consensus among experts in the scientific community forced the NFL to take a different approach to the growing problem of MTBI among existing and former NFL players. In or around 1992, the NFL knew that many football players, including, by way of example, Al Toon, a Pro Bowl receiver for the New York Jets, had developed brain injuries, including chronic severe headaches, malaise, intolerance of loud noises, depression, and emotional lability as a consequence of multiple "dings," sub-concussive injuries, and concussions. The NFL was aware that Mr. Toon retired in 1992 because of these chronic problems.

14. In 1994, the NFL, through its own initiative and voluntary undertaking, took its historic duty and unilateral authority regarding player health and safety one step further. The NFL created and/or decided to fund the NFL's so-called Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Committee (the "MTBI Committee") ostensibly to research and study MTBI affecting NFL players. Notwithstanding this purported purpose, and despite clear medical evidence that on-field sub-concussive and concussive injuries can produce MTBI with tragic results, the NFL (a) failed to

inform its current and former players of the true risks associated with MTBI and (b) purposefully misrepresented and/or concealed medical evidence on that issue.

15. Through its MTBI Committee, the NFL gratuitously and voluntarily inserted itself into the scientific research and discussion concerning the link between sub-concussive and concussive impacts sustained by NFL players and short-term and long-term impairment of the brain. By voluntarily inserting itself into the MTBI research and public discourse, the NFL gratuitously undertook a responsibility (a) to make truthful statements; (b) not to wrongfully advance improper, biased, and falsified industry-generated studies; (c) not to discredit well-researched and credible studies that came to a conclusion that did not comport with the NFL's financial and political interests; and, (d) to inform all former players, all current players, and the football-playing public, including young people and their families, regarding the risks of MTBI in football.

16. At the same time, the NFL and its agents continued to market, as it had in the past, the ferocity and brutality of the sport that, in part, gives rise to the latent and debilitating neuro-cognitive conditions and injuries from which Plaintiffs now suffer.

17. After voluntarily assuming a duty to investigate, study, and truthfully report the medical risks associated with MTBI in football, the NFL produced industry-funded, biased, and falsified research that claimed that concussive and sub-concussive head impacts in football do not present serious, life-altering risks.

18. For sixteen years, the NFL actively and continuously denied any link between MTBI sustained by former NFL players in NFL games and practices and the neurological symptoms and problems (such as headaches, dizziness, loss of memory, dementia and ALS) from which they now suffer. The NFL made its biased and falsified position known by way of gratuitous press releases, publications in scientific literature, and other communications.

19. Consistent with its historic role as the guardian of player health and safety, the NFL intended for the general public, NFL players, the Plaintiffs, and participants at every level of the game to rely on the misinformation it propagated.

20. During the same time period, the NFL actively sought to suppress the findings of other members of the medical communities that showed the link between on-field sub-concussive and concussive head impacts and post-career neuro-cognitive damage, illness and decline.

21. The NFL's active and purposeful concealment and misrepresentation of the severe neurological risks of repetitive MTBI exposed players to dangers they could have avoided had the NFL provided them with truthful and accurate information. Many of the players, including the Plaintiffs, sustained repetitive MTBI while in the NFL and now suffer from latent neurodegenerative disorders and diseases, all of which, in whole or in part, were caused by the NFL's acts and/or omissions.

22. The NFL caused or contributed to the injuries and increased risks to Plaintiffs through its acts and omissions by, among other things: (a) historically ignoring the true risks of MTBI in NFL football; (b) failing to disclose the true risks of repetitive MTBI to NFL players; and (c) since 1994, deliberately spreading misinformation concerning the cause and effect relationship between MTBI in NFL football and latent neurodegenerative disorders and diseases.

23. On information and belief, the NFL's motive to ignore and misrepresent the link between MTBI sustained in NFL play and neuro-cognitive injury and decline was economic. The NFL knew or suspected that any rule changes that sought to recognize that link and the health risk to NFL players would impose an economic cost that would significantly and adversely change the profit margins enjoyed by the NFL and its teams.

24. On information and belief, all NFL policies and decisions relevant to the conduct alleged herein occurred primarily in the NFL corporate offices in New York.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

25. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(11), because there are one hundred (100) or more persons whose individual claims are being brought herein and the amount in controversy for each Plaintiff exceeds \$75,000.00 dollars, exclusive of costs, interest, and attorneys' fees. The overall amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest, costs, and attorney's fees. Those claims can be tried jointly in that they involve common questions of law and fact. In addition, the Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) over the claims of individual Plaintiffs who are citizens of a state different from the states of citizenship of all Defendants they name in their Short-Form Complaints.

26. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because they conduct substantial and continuous business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and/or in the state of the transferee forum identified in the individual Short-Form Complaint (the "transferee forum").

27. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) and (b), because a substantial part of the events or omissions that give rise to the claims occurred within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and this district and/or in the transferee forum, because the Defendants conduct a substantial part of their business within this district and/or in the transferee forum, and because the Judicial Panel on Multi District Litigation decided to consolidate and transfer these case to this Court.

PARTIES

28. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs-Spouses are those persons identified in the individual Short-Form Complaints, which adopt, in whole or in part, the allegations and Counts herein.

29. Each of the Defendants is in some fashion legally responsible for the injuries and damages complained of herein.

30. Defendant NFL, which maintains its offices at 345 Park Avenue, New York, New York, is an unincorporated association consisting of separately owned and independently-operated professional football teams which operate out of many different cities and states within this country. The NFL is engaged in interstate commerce in the business of, among other things, promoting, operating, organizing, and regulating the major professional football league in the United States. The NFL is not, and has not been, the employer of the Plaintiffs, who were employed during their respective careers in professional football by the independent clubs (hereinafter “Teams” or “Clubs”). The United States Supreme Court held in *American Needle, Inc. v. NFL*, 130 S. Ct. 2201, 2212-13 (2010), that each Team that is a member of the NFL is a legally distinct and separate entity from both the other Teams and the NFL itself.

31. Defendant NFL Properties, LLC is the successor-in-interest to National Football League Properties, Inc. (“NFL Properties”) and a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its headquarters in the State of New York. NFL Properties is engaged in, among other activities, approving, licensing, and promoting equipment used by all the NFL teams. NFL Properties regularly conducts business in Pennsylvania. Together with the NFL, Defendant NFL Properties is referred to herein as the “NFL Defendants.”

32. Defendant Riddell, Inc. (d/b/a Riddell Sports Group, Inc.) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois and whose principal place of business is in the State of Illinois. Riddell is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, selling and distributing football equipment, including helmets, to the NFL and since 1989 has been the official helmet of the NFL.

33. Defendant All American Sports Corporation, d/b/a Riddell/All American, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, selling and distributing football equipment, including helmets, to the NFL and since 1989 has been the official helmet of the NFL.

34. Defendant Riddell Sports Group, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 6255 N. State Highway, #300, Irving, Texas 76038.

35. Defendant Easton-Bell Sports, Inc. is a Delaware Corporation with a principal place of business at 7855 Haskell Avenue, Suite 200, Van Nuys, California 91406 and is a parent corporation of Riddell Sports Group Inc. Easton-Bell Sports, Inc. designs, develops, and markets branded athletic equipment and accessories, including marketing and licensing products under the Riddell brand.

36. Defendant Easton-Bell Sports, LLC is the parent corporation of Easton-Bell Sports, Inc. and is incorporated in Delaware, with a principal place of business at 152 West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019.

37. Defendant EB Sports Corp. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 7855 Haskell Avenue, Van Nuys, California 91406.

38. Defendant RBG Holdings Corp. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 7855 Haskell Avenue, Suite 350, Van Nuys, California 91406.

39. Defendants Riddell, Inc., Riddell Sports Group Inc., All American Sports Corporation, Easton-Bell Sports, Inc., EB Sports Corp., Easton-Bell Sports, LLC, and RBG Holdings Corp., shall hereinafter be referred to collectively as the “Riddell Defendants.”

40. The factual allegations against the Riddell Defendants for purposes of this Complaint are set forth in separate paragraphs.

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS
AGAINST THE NFL DEFENDANTS**

41. The NFL generates approximately \$9,300,000,000.00 in gross income per year.

42. The NFL oversees America's most popular spectator sport, acting as a trade association for the benefit of the thirty-two independently operated Teams. The NFL's average attendance per game in 2009 was 67,509.

43. The NFL has, since its inception in the first half of the twentieth century, governed and promoted the game of football, and as referenced in detail herein, it was created and established to act as the governing body, establishing rules related to player health and safety, League policies, and Team ownership.

44. The NFL generates revenue mostly through marketing sponsorships, licensing merchandise, and by selling national broadcasting rights to the games. The Teams share a percentage of the League's overall revenue.

45. The NFL earns billions of dollars from its media deals with, inter alia, ESPN (\$1.1 billion), DirecTV (\$1 billion), NBC (\$650 million), Fox (\$712.5 million), and CBS (\$622.5 million).

46. Annually, the NFL redistributes approximately \$4 billion in radio, television, and digital earnings to the Teams or approximately \$125 million per Team. Those revenue numbers show no sign of declining and have increased since 2009.

47. The NFL enjoys partial monopoly power through an anti-trust exemption granted via the Federal Sports Broadcasting Act that allows the NFL to sell television rights for all 32 Teams as a single unit.

The NFL's Influence

48. In part because of their financial power, monopoly status, and high visibility, the NFL Defendants have had enormous influence over the game of football at all levels of the game.

49. Over many decades, the NFL Defendants' influence has been expanded through their use of the media. Through NFL films, the NFL Network, and www.NFL.com, the NFL Defendants have promoted NFL football via every mass communication medium available.

The NFL Has Mythologized Violence Through the Media.

50. Part of the NFL Defendants' strategy to promote NFL football is: (a) to mythologize players and Teams; (b) to glorify the accomplishments of individuals and Teams; and (c) to glorify the brutality and ferocity of NFL football, by lauding and mythologizing the most brutal and ferocious players and collisions, and simultaneously propagating the fraudulent representation that "getting your bell rung," "being dinged", and putting big hits on others is a badge of courage and does not seriously threaten one's health.

51. As a result of this strategy, the NFL Defendants have propagated the false myth that collisions of all kinds, including brutal and ferocious collisions, many of which lead to short-term and long-term neurological damage to current and former NFL players, are an acceptable, desired, and natural consequence of the game, and a measure of the courage and heroism of players involved at every level of the game.

52. As a result of this strategy, and the overwhelming influence of the NFL Defendants at every level of the game, the NFL Defendants have generated for themselves and others billions of dollars every year by promoting a product of brutality and ferocity and inculcating in players at every level of the game the false and life-threatening ideas that (a) brutal, ferocious, and debilitating collisions are a required and desired outcome in the game of football; and (b) playing despite repetitive head impacts is a laudable and desirable goal.

The NFL Markets and Glorifies Football's Violence Through NFL Films.

53. NFL Films is an agent and instrumentality of the NFL Defendants devoted to producing promotional films for the NFL. One television critic described NFL Films as “the greatest in-house P.R. machine in pro sports history... an outfit that could make even a tedious stalemate seem as momentous as the battle for the Alamo.”

54. NFL Films is known for the style it features in all of its productions, capturing the NFL games, plays, players, and overall NFL environment in an artistic, promotional fashion. NFL Films cinematography is intended to create compelling storylines and highlight certain aspects of the game. NFL Films takes viewers right into the game with close-ups and slow motion depiction of all the hard-hitting action on the field.

55. NFL Films focuses on violence as one of the NFL's greatest selling points: the football player as gladiator. To advance the NFL Defendants' purpose, NFL Films has created numerous highlight features that focus solely on the hardest-hits in pro football. These featured videos are marketed and sold to advance the NFL's culture of violence as entertainment.

56. The list of videos created by NFL Films glorifying violent plays includes, but is not limited to, the following titles: “*NFL: Moment of Impact*” (2007); “*NFL's 100 Greatest Tackles*” (1995); “*Big Blocks and King Size Hits*” (1990); “*The Best of Thunder and Destruction – NFL's Hardest Hits*”; “*NFL Films Video: Strike Force*” (1989); “*The NFL's Greatest Hits*” (1989); “*Crunch Course*”; “*Crunch Course II*” (1988); “*Crunch Masters*”; “*In the Crunch*” (1987); “*NFL Rocks*”; “*NFL Rocks: Extreme Football*” (1993).

57. NFL Films created the “*Top Ten Most Feared Tacklers*” series that was shown on the NFL Network. Now, it has its own section on the NFL's website. These features are comprised of videos highlighting the most vicious tacklers the NFL has ever seen. These videos contain numerous

explicit examples of how the NFL Defendants market and glorify the violent nature of the NFL. The back cover of 2007 film “*Moment of Impact*” advertises the film as follows: “First you hear the breathing, then you feel the wind coming through your helmet’s ear hole. Suddenly you’re down, and you’re looking through your helmet’s ear hole. Pain? That’s for tomorrow morning. Right now you’ve gotta focus – focus on the play and try not to focus on the next moment of impact.”

58. The entire message deemphasizes the acute and chronic risks associated with head impacts. The 1990 film “*Big Blocks and King Size Hits*” prominently features a head-to-head collision between Minnesota Vikings’ defender Jack Tatum and Oakland Raiders’ receiver Sammy White in Super Bowl XI in which White’s helmet is knocked clear off his head. In 1993’s “*NFL Rocks*,” the late Junior Seau offers his opinion on the measure of a punishing hit: “If I can feel some dizziness, I know that guy is feeling double [that].” In a segment of the same film, glorifying gutsy receivers who expose themselves to big hits by going “over the middle” of the field, former Houston Oilers receiver Ernest Givens is quoted as saying: “I get knocked out a lot, I get concussions, I get broken noses, that is part of being a receiver, that’s what separates you from being a typical receiver than a great receiver.” Former Dallas Cowboys receiver Michael Irvin recites a similar unawareness of the risks of concussions: “Before the game, I go to the [defensive backs] and tell them, ‘Hey, you know I’ll trade a concussion for a reception!’”

59. NFL Films, therefore, advances the NFL Defendants’ agenda to promote the most violent aspects of NFL football and to urge players at every level of the game to disregard the results of violent head impacts.

60. The NFL Defendants strategically use NFL Films’ cinematography and on-field microphones to exaggerate and emphasize vicious hits, which take on the appearance of the slow-

motion crash test videos that appear in many car commercials, and the players taking on the role of the crash-test dummies.

61. The NFL Defendants, through NFL Films, promote a culture in which playing hurt or with an injury is both expected and acclaimed in a mythical gladiator world. Through NFL Films, the NFL has produced videos that praise players who embody the ethos of playing hurt (for example, “*Top Ten Gutsiest Performances*”). This film and others like it celebrate players’ ability to play through the pain and injury and promote an expectation among players and fans that players must and often do play through any injury, including MTBI.

62. This culture encourages NFL players to play despite a head injury. Moreover, failure to play through such an injury creates the risk that the NFL player will lose playing time, a starting position, and possibly a career.

63. Within this culture, the NFL Defendants purposefully profit from the violence they promote.

64. This culture of violence, sponsored and encouraged by the NFL Defendants, has too many examples to provide in this Complaint.

65. A few examples demonstrate its indelible place in the modus operandi of the NFL Defendants. After joining the NFL, the Cleveland Browns were led by Hall of Famer Otto Graham to many consecutive championships. The media and the NFL management at the time were well aware of the targeted blows to the head suffered by Graham, with resulting loss of consciousness. Nevertheless, Graham was encouraged to come back and play in each game.

66. This attitude and League-sponsored mayhem continued in the decades of the 1980s, 1990s and 2000s, with players lauded for their “head hunting” skills. As recently as October 2010, the NFL fined some players for what it characterized as “illegal and dangerous hits”, and yet the NFL

Defendants sought to profit by selling photos of the illegal hits on its website for between \$54.95 and \$249.95.

Head Injuries, Concussions, and Neurological Damage

67. Medical science has known for many decades that repetitive and violent jarring of the head or impact to the head can cause MTBI with a heightened risk of long term, chronic neuro-cognitive sequelae.

68. The NFL Defendants have known or should have known for many years that the American Association of Neurological Surgeons (the “AANS”) has defined a concussion as “a clinical syndrome characterized by an immediate and transient alteration in brain function, including an alteration of mental status and level of consciousness, resulting from mechanical force or trauma.” The AANS defines traumatic brain injury (“TBI”) as:

a blow or jolt to the head, or a penetrating head injury that disrupts the normal function of the brain. TBI can result when the head suddenly and violently hits an object, or when an object pierces the skull and enters brain tissue. Symptoms of a TBI can be mild, moderate or severe, depending on the extent of damage to the brain. Mild cases may result in a brief change in mental state or consciousness, while severe cases may result in extended periods of unconsciousness, coma or even death.

69. The NFL Defendants have known or should have known for many years that MTBI generally occurs when the head either accelerates rapidly and then is stopped, or is rotated rapidly. The results frequently include, among other things, confusion, blurred vision, memory loss, nausea, and sometimes unconsciousness.

70. The NFL Defendants have known or should have known for many years that medical evidence has shown that symptoms of MTBI can appear hours or days after the injury, indicating that the injured party has not healed from the initial blow.

71. The NFL Defendants have known or should have known for many years that once a person suffers an MTBI, he is up to four times more likely to sustain a second one. Additionally, after suffering even a single sub-concussive or concussive blow, a lesser blow may cause MTBI, and the injured person requires more time to recover. This goes to the heart of the problem: players being unaware of the serious risk posed to their long-term neuro-cognitive health.

72. The NFL Defendants have known or should have known for many years that clinical and neuro-pathological studies by some of the nation's foremost experts demonstrate that multiple head injuries or concussions sustained during an NFL player's career can cause severe neuro-cognitive problems such as depression and early-onset of dementia.

73. The NFL Defendants have known or should have known for many years that published peer reviewed scientific studies have shown that repeated traumatic head impacts (including sub-concussive and concussive blows) cause ongoing and latent brain injury. These injuries have been documented and associated with sports-related head impacts in both football and boxing.

74. The NFL Defendants have known or should have known for many years that neuropathology studies, brain imaging tests, and neuropsychological tests on many former football players, including former NFL players, have established that football players who sustain repetitive head impacts while playing the game have suffered and continue to suffer brain injuries that result in any one or more of the following conditions: early-onset of Alzheimer's Disease, dementia, depression, deficits in cognitive functioning, reduced processing speed, attention and reasoning, loss of memory, sleeplessness, mood swings, personality changes, and the debilitating and latent disease known as Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy ("CTE"). The latter condition involves the slow build-up of the Tau protein within the brain tissue that causes diminished brain function, progressive cognitive decline, and many of the symptoms listed above. CTE is also associated with an increased risk of suicide.

75. The NFL Defendants have known or should have known for many years that CTE is found in athletes, including football players and boxers, with a history of repetitive head trauma. Published papers have shown that this condition is prevalent in retired professional football players who have a history of head injury. The changes in the brain caused by repetitive trauma are thought to begin when the brain is subjected to that repetitive trauma, but symptoms may not appear until months, years, or even decades after the last traumatic impact or the end of active athletic involvement.

76. The NFL Defendants have known for many years of the reported papers and studies documenting autopsies on over twenty-five former NFL players. The papers and studies show that over ninety percent of the players suffered from CTE.

77. As a result, published peer reviewed scientific studies have shown that concussive and sub-concussive head impacts while playing professional football are linked to significant risk for permanent brain injury.

78. Published peer reviewed scientific studies have shown that 28% of the NFL retirees studied suffered from depression, whereas the prevalence of depression in the general population is 9.5%.

79. Published peer reviewed scientific studies have shown that 36% of NFL retirees, age 65-75, who were studied suffered from dementia, whereas the prevalence of dementia in the general population for the same age group is merely 2.2-6.5%.

80. Published peer reviewed scientific studies have shown that retired players with three or more reported concussions had a fivefold prevalence of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and a threefold prevalence of significant memory problems, compared to other retirees.

81. In a study of NFL retirees, 11.1% of all respondents reported having a diagnosis of clinical depression.

82. NFL retirees experience earlier onset of Alzheimer's-like symptoms more frequently than the general American male population in the same age range.

83. Repeated head trauma can also result in so-called "Second Impact Syndrome," in which re-injury to a person who has already suffered a concussion triggers swelling that the skull cannot accommodate.

**The NFL Was and Is in a Superior Position of Knowledge
and Authority and Owed a Duty to Players**

84. At all times, the NFL's unique historical vantage point at the apex of the sport of football, paired with its unmatched resources as the most well-funded organization devoted to the business of the game, has afforded it unparalleled access to data relating the effect of head impacts on football players and made it an institutional repository of accumulated knowledge about head injuries to players.

85. The NFL's accumulated knowledge about head injuries to players, and the associated health risks therefrom, was at all times vastly superior to that available to the Plaintiffs.

86. From its inception, the NFL unilaterally created for itself the role of protecting players, informing players of safety concerns, and imposing unilaterally a wide variety of rules to protect players from injuries that were costly to the player, the game, and profits. From the beginning, the NFL held itself out and acted as the guardian of the players' best interests on health and safety issues.

87. For these reasons, players and their families have relied on the NFL to intervene in matters of player safety, to recognize issues of player safety, and to be truthful on the issue of player safety.

88. In a recent public admission, the NFL stated that "[s]ince its earliest days, the league has continuously taken steps to ensure that the game is played as fairly as possible without unnecessary

risk to its participants, including making changes and enhancements to game safety rules.”
(www.nflhealthsasfety.com/commitment/regulations) (2011-2012).

89. On information and belief, since its inception, the NFL received and paid for advice from medical consultants regarding health risks associated with playing football, including the health risks associated with concussive and sub-concussive injuries. Such ongoing medical advice and knowledge placed the NFL in position of ongoing superior knowledge to the players. Combined with the NFL’s unilateral and monopolistic power to set rules and determine policies throughout its game, the NFL at all relevant times was in a position to influence and dictate how the game would be played and to define the risks to which players would be exposed.

90. As a result, the NFL unilaterally assumed a duty to act in the best interests of the health and safety of NFL players, to provide truthful information to NFL players regarding risks to their health, and to take all reasonable steps necessary to ensure the safety of players.

91. The NFL’s voluntary actions and authority throughout its history show that as early as the 1920s the NFL shouldered for itself the common law duty to make the game of professional football safer for the players and to keep the players informed of safety information they needed to know.

92. The NFL’s historical actions in connection with these legal duties have included, but are not limited to, the following: adding a field judge (1929); establishing hash-marks at 10 yards from the sidelines (1933); establishing the penalty of unnecessary roughness for a deliberate rough contact on the passer after the pass is made (1938); making helmets mandatory (1943); adding a back field judge (1947); establishing a rule that the ball is dead when a runner touches the ground with any part of his body except his hands while in the grasp of an opponent (1955); establishing a rule that the ball is dead immediately if the runner touches the ground with any part of his body except his hands after being contacted by a defensive player (1956); establishing a penalty for grabbing the face mask of any

opponent except a runner (1956); establishing a penalty of grabbing the face mask of any opponent (1962); requiring that goal posts be offset from the goal line (1966); establishing a rule that a player who signals for a fair catch cannot block or initiate contact with one of the kicking team's players until the ball touches a player (1967); establishing a rule that a defensive player who jumps or stands on a teammate or who is picked up by a teammate cannot attempt to block an opponent's kick (1973); establishing a rule that no receiver can be blocked below the waist after moving beyond the line of scrimmage (1974); establishing a rule that eligible receivers who take a position more than two yards from the tackle cannot be blocked below the waist (1974); establishing a rule that a defender is not permitted to run or dive into a ball carrier who has fallen to the ground untouched (1976); establishing a rule that it is illegal for a defensive lineman to strike an opponent above the shoulders during his initial charge (1977) (previously the NFL made this illegal only during the first step); establishing that it is illegal for a wide receiver to clip an opponent anywhere (1977); establishing rules as to mandatory equipment (1979); establishing that it is illegal for a player in the backfield to chop an outside rusher on a pass play (1979); establishing that it is illegal to throw a punch or forearm or to kick an opponent (1979); and establishing that it is illegal to strike, swing, or club an opponent in the head, neck or face (1980).

93. As the sport's governing entity (with monopolistic power), the NFL has made it known to players and teams alike that the NFL actively and pervasively governs player conduct and health and safety both on and off the field. In public statements since its inception, the NFL has stated that its goals include taking necessary steps for the safety, health and well-being of players and their families.

94. The NFL's approach has been paternalistic and has included comprehensive rookie training programs to teach new players how to manage their personal lives, inquiries from the media, and newly acquired income.

95. For decades, the NFL voluntarily instituted programs to support player health and safety on and off the field, and the players and their families looked to the NFL for guidance on these issues.

96. By way of example only, in 1959, the NFL unilaterally established medical, life insurance, and retirement plans, funded the plans, and controlled the nature and extent of each of these plans without any player involvement. The NFL made all changes to the plans unilaterally.

97. Despite its unilateral duty and power to govern player conduct on and off the field, the NFL has for decades ignored, turned a blind eye to, and actively concealed the risks to players of repetitive sub-concussive and concussive head impacts, which can and do result in players being knocked unconscious or having “their bell rung” so that they are in a conscious but disoriented state.

98. As one example, Cleveland Browns Quarterback Otto Graham was knocked unconscious during a game against the San Francisco 49ers in 1953 and he was carried off the field. After regaining consciousness, however, Graham returned to the field and played the balance of the game, even though his jaw required fifteen stitches after the game.

99. Thus, since its inception, and continuing into the present, the NFL has been in a position that affords it a special relationship to NFL players as the guardian of their health and safety. For that reason, from its inception and continuing into the present, the NFL owed a duty of reasonable care to keep NFL players informed of neurological risks, to inform NFL players truthfully, and not to mislead NFL players about the risks of permanent neurological damage that can occur from MTBI incurred while playing football.

100. By way of example only, during the decades of the 1930s through the 1960s, the NFL – in its supervisory role as guardian of player safety -- identified tackling techniques that exposed players to increased risks of injury, including head, neck, and leg injuries. Once

identified, the NFL issued regulations which served as daily warnings to players of the hazardous nature of continuing to follow hazardous tackling techniques.

101. As a result of its position of authority and repository of a composite of information throughout the League, the NFL was aware of how to protect NFL players from dangerous circumstances on the field of play and took unilateral, but insufficient, measures to do so.

102. For decades, the NFL failed to warn NFL players of the medical risks associated with repetitive head impacts during NFL games and practices.

103. Instead, the NFL ignored the risks and/or was willfully blind to the risks and/or actively concealed the risks from NFL players, despite its historic and proactive role as the guardian of player safety. For that reason, the NFL breached its common law duty of reasonable and ordinary care to the Plaintiffs by failing to provide them with necessary, adequate, and truthful information about the heightened risks of latent neurological damage that arise from repetitive head impacts during NFL games and practices.

104. On information and belief, over the past two decades, the NFL continued to exercise this common law duty and its unilateral authority to investigate and advise NFL players on many diverse and important topics, and that should have included the recognition of circumstances that can precipitate MTBI, the long-term potential consequences of MTBI to NFL players, and solutions for players who have sustained MTBI.

105. Moreover, from 1994 until 2010, the NFL publicly inserted itself into the business of head injury research and openly disputed that any short-term or long-term harmful effects arose from football-related sub-concussive and concussive injuries. The NFL propagated its own industry funded and falsified research to support its position, despite its historic role as the

guardian of player safety, and despite the fact that independent medical scientists had already come to the opposite conclusion.

106. As such, the NFL continued its existing common law duty to provide truthful scientific research and information about the risks of concussive and sub-concussive injuries to NFL players, including the Plaintiffs, who relied on the NFL's research and pronouncements on that subject.

107. The NFL knew, reasonably expected, and intended NFL players, including the Plaintiffs, to rely on its research and pronouncements on that subject, in part, because of the historic special relationship between the NFL and the players and, in part, because the NFL knew that the vast majority of NFL players played under non-guaranteed contracts and would willingly (and unknowingly) expose themselves to additional neurological injury and an increased risk of harm solely to maintain those non-guaranteed contracts. The NFL had, in fact, developed over time a market brand analogous to that of Roman Gladiators that urged players to sacrifice all for "the game" as an essential mentality for play in the NFL. The 2007 NFL Films video "*Moment of Impact*" emphasized that "3rd and 4th stringers, special team players will risk life and limb to catch the coach's eye" for a spot on an NFL roster. During a voice-over emphasizing that these players hope "to make the team by making an impact," the video depicts a Buffalo Bills defender delivering a devastating blow directly to the head of the vulnerable Indianapolis Colts' kick-return man who had just caught the ball.

**The NFL Knew the Dangers and Risks Associated with
Repetitive Head Impacts and Concussions**

108. For decades, the NFL has been aware that multiple blows to the head can lead to long-term brain injury, including but not limited to memory loss, dementia, depression, and CTE and its related symptoms.

109. In 1928, pathologist Harrison Martland described the clinical spectrum of abnormalities found in "almost 50 percent of fighters [boxers] . . . if they ke[pt] at the game long enough" (the

“Martland study”). The article was published in the *Journal of the American Medical Association*. The Martland study was the first to link sub-concussive blows and “mild concussions” to degenerative brain disease.

110. In 1937, the American Football Coaches Association published a report warning that players who suffer a concussion should be removed from sports demanding personal contact.

111. In 1948, the New York State Legislature created the Medical Advisory Board of the New York Athletic Commission for the specific purpose of creating mandatory rules for professional boxing designed to prevent or minimize the health risks to boxers. After a three year study, the Medical Advisory Board recommended, among other things, (a) an accident survey committee to study ongoing accidents and deaths in boxing rings; (b) two physicians at ring-side for every bout; (c) post-bout medical follow-up exams; (d) a 30-day period of no activity following a knockout and a medical follow up for the boxer, all of which was designed to avoid the development of “punch drunk syndrome,” also known at the time as “traumatic encephalopathy”; (e) a physician’s prerogative to recommend that a boxer surrender temporarily his boxing license if the physician notes that the boxer suffered significant injury or knockout; and (f) a medical investigation of boxers who suffer knockouts numerous times.

112. The recommendations were codified as rules of the New York State Athletic Commission.

113. In or about 1952, the *Journal of the American Medical Association* published a study of encephalopathic changes in professional boxers.

114. That same year, an article published in the *New England Journal of Medicine* recommended a three-strike rule for concussions in football (*i.e.*, recommending that players cease to play football after receiving their third concussion.)

115. In 1962, Drs. Serel & Jaros looked at the heightened incidence of chronic encephalopathy in boxers and characterized the disease as a “Parkinsonian” pattern of progressive decline.

116. A 1963 study by Drs. Mawdsley & Ferguson published in *Lancet* found that some boxers sustain chronic neurological damages as a result of repeated head injuries. This damage manifested in the form of dementia and impairment of motor function.

117. A 1967 study Drs. Hughes & Hendrix examined brain activity impacts from football by utilizing EEG to read brain activity in game conditions, including after head trauma.

118. In 1969 (and then again in the 1973 book entitled *Head and Neck Injuries in Football*), a paper published in the *Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports* by a leading medical expert in the treatment of head injuries, recommended that any concussive event with transitory loss of consciousness requires the removal of the football player from play and requires monitoring.

119. In 1973, Drs. Corsellis, Bruton, & Freeman-Browne studied the physical neurological impact of boxing. This study outlined the neuropathological characteristics of “Dementia Pugilistica,” including loss of brain cells, cerebral atrophy, and neurofibrillary tangles.

120. A 1975 study by Drs. Gronwall & Wrightson looked at the cumulative effects of concussive injuries in non-athletes and found that those who suffered two concussions took longer to recover than those who suffered from a single concussion. The authors noted that these results could be extrapolated to athletes given the common occurrence of concussions in sports.

121. In the 1960s and 70s, the development of the protective face mask in football allowed the helmeted head to be used as a battering ram. By 1975 the number of head and neck injuries from football that resulted in permanent quadriplegias in Pennsylvania and New Jersey lead to the creation of the National Football Head and Neck Registry, which was sponsored by the National Athletic Trainers Association and the Sports Medicine Center at the University of Pennsylvania.

122. In 1973, a potentially fatal condition known as “Second Impact Syndrome”—in which re-injury to the already-concussed brain triggers swelling that the skull cannot accommodate—was identified. It did not receive this name until 1984. Upon information and belief, Second Impact Syndrome has resulted in the deaths of at least forty football players.

123. Between 1952 and 1994, numerous additional studies were published in medical journals including the *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *Neurology*, the *New England Journal of Medicine*, and *Lancet* warning of the dangers of single concussions, multiple concussions, and/or football-related head trauma from multiple concussions. These studies collectively established that:

repetitive head trauma in contact sports, including boxing and football, has potential dangerous long-term effects on brain function;

encephalopathy (dementia pugilistica) is caused in boxers by repeated sub-concussive and concussive blows to the head;

acceleration and rapid deceleration of the head that results in brief loss of consciousness in primates also results in a tearing of the axons (brain cells) within the brainstem;

with respect to mild head injury in athletes who play contact sports, there is a relationship between neurologic pathology and length of the athlete’s career;

immediate retrograde memory issues occur following concussions;

mild head injury requires recovery time without risk of subjection to further injury;

head trauma is linked to dementia;

a football player who suffers a concussion requires significant rest before being subjected to further contact; and,

minor head trauma can lead to neuropathological and neurophysiological alterations, including neuronal damage, reduced cerebral blood flow, altered brainstem evoked potentials and reduced speed of information processing.

124. In the early 1980s, the Department of Neurosurgery at the University of Virginia published studies on patients who sustained MTBI and observed long-term damage in the form of unexpected cognitive impairment. The studies were published in neurological journals and treatises within the United States.

125. In 1982, the University of Virginia and other institutions conducted studies on college football teams that showed that football players who suffered MTBI suffered pathological short-term and long-term damage. With respect to concussions, the same studies showed that a person who sustained one concussion was more likely to sustain a second, particularly if that person was not properly treated and removed from activity so that the concussion symptoms were allowed to resolve.

126. The same studies showed that two or more concussions close in time could have serious short-term and long-term consequences in both football players and other victims of brain trauma.

127. In 1986, Dr. Robert Cantu of the American College of Sports Medicine published *Concussion Grading Guidelines*, which he later updated in 2001.

128. By 1991, three distinct medical professionals/entities, all independent from the NFL—Dr. Robert Cantu of the American College of Sports Medicine, the American Academy of Neurology, and the Colorado Medical Society—developed return-to-play criteria for football players suspected of having sustained head injuries.

129. On information and belief, by 1991, the NCAA football conferences and individual college teams' medical staffs, along with many lower-level football groups (*e.g.*, high school, junior high school, and pee-wee league) had disseminated information and adopted criteria to protect football players even remotely suspected of having sustained concussions.

130. Further, Rule 4.2.14 of the World Boxing Council's Rules and Regulations states: "[b]oxers that suffered concussion by KO [loss of consciousness], should not participate in sparring sessions for 45 days and no less than 30 days after concussive trauma, including but not limited to KO's, and should not compete in a boxing match in less than 75 days."

131. In 1999, the National Center for Catastrophic Sport Injury Research at the University of North Carolina conducted a study involving eighteen thousand (18,000) collegiate and high school football players. The research showed that once a player suffered one concussion, he was three times more likely to sustain a second in the same season.

132. In 1999, former Pittsburgh Steeler and Hall of Fame inductee Mike Webster filed with the NFL a request that he receive complete disability benefits based on the fact that he had sustained repeated and disabling head impacts while a player for the Steelers. In 1999, Webster submitted extensive medical reports and testimony that stated, among other things, that Webster suffered from "traumatic or punch drunk encephalopathy [brain disease]" sustained from playing football that left Webster totally and permanently disabled as of 1991.

133. The NFL's own physician independently examined Webster and concluded that Webster was mentally "completely and totally disabled as of the date of his retirement and was certainly disabled when he stopped playing football sometime in 1990."

134. Webster died in 2002 at the age of fifty. In December 2006, the Estate of Webster received an unpublished opinion from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit that affirmed the decision of the District Court that the administrator had wrongly denied him benefits. In its opinion, the Fourth Circuit stated that the NFL Plan had acknowledged that the multiple head injuries Webster sustained during his playing career (1974-1990) ". . . had caused Webster eventually to suffer total and permanent mental disability"

135. Thus, the NFL, through its own expert medical testimony and the expert testimony submitted by Webster knew and accepted that repetitive traumatic brain injuries sustained by a Hall of Fame player led to long-term encephalopathy and permanent mental disability.

136. A 2000 study, which surveyed 1,090 former NFL players, found that more than sixty (60) percent had suffered at least one concussion, and twenty-six (26) percent had suffered three (3) or more, during their careers. Those who had sustained concussions reported more problems with memory, concentration, speech impediments, headaches, and other neurological problems than those who had not been concussed.

137. Also in 2000, a study presented at the American Academy of Neurology's 52nd Annual Meeting and authored by Dr. Barry Jordan, Director of the Brain Injury Program at Burke Rehabilitation Hospital in White Plains, New York, and Dr. Julian Bailes, surveyed 1,094 former NFL players between the ages of 27 and 86 and found that: (a) more than 60% had suffered at least one concussion in their careers, with 26% of the players having three or more and 15% having five or more; (b) 51% had been knocked unconscious more than once; (c) 73% of those injured said they were not required to sit on the sidelines after their head trauma; (d) 49% of the former players had numbness or tingling; 28% had neck or cervical spine arthritis; 31% had difficulty with memory; 16% were unable to dress themselves; 11% were unable to feed themselves; and (3) eight suffered from Alzheimer's disease.

138. A 2001 report by Dr. Frederick Mueller that was published in the *Journal of Athletic Training* reported that a football-related fatality has occurred every year from 1945 through 1999, except for 1990. Head-related deaths accounted for 69% of football fatalities, cervical spinal injuries for 16.3%, and other injuries for 14.7%. High school football produced the greatest number of football

head-related deaths. From 1984 through 1999, sixty-nine football head-related injuries resulted in permanent disability.


139. In 2004, a convention of neurological experts in Prague met with the aim of providing recommendations for the improvement of safety and health of athletes who suffer concussive injuries in ice hockey, rugby, football, and other sports based on the most up-to-date research. These experts recommended that a player never be returned to play while symptomatic, and coined the phrase, “when in doubt, sit them out.”

140. This echoed similar medical protocol established at a Vienna conference in 2001. These two conventions were attended by predominately American doctors who were experts and leaders in the neurological field.

141. The University of North Carolina’s Center for the Study of Retired Athletes published survey-based papers in 2005 through 2007 that found a strong correlation between depression, dementia, and other cognitive impairment in NFL players and the number of concussions those players had received.

142. The chart on the following page, which was excerpted from an article in the 2010 *New England Journal of Medicine* entitled “Traumatic Brain Injury—Football, Warfare, and Long-Term Effects,” shows that even mild “traumatic brain injury” (“TBI”) can have lasting consequences that are

manifest later in the football player's life.



143. A 2006 publication stated that “[a]ll standard U.S. guidelines, such as those first set by the American Academy of Neurology and the Colorado Medical Society, agree that athletes who lose consciousness should never return to play in the same game.”

144. Indeed, while the NFL knew for decades of the harmful effects of sub-concussive and concussive injuries on a player’s brain, it actively concealed these facts from coaches, players, and the public.

145. On information and belief during every decade referenced above, the NFL was advised by physicians of all kinds regarding the risks associated with playing the game of football, including the risks associated with head impacts and MTBI.

146. As described above, the NFL has known for decades that MTBI can and does lead to long-term brain injury, including, but not limited to, memory loss, dementia, depression, and CTE and its related symptoms.

147. Rather than take immediate measures to protect NFL players from these known dangers, between the 1950s and 1994, the NFL failed to disseminate to then-current and former NFL players relevant health information it possessed regarding the significant risks associated with MTBI.

The NFL Voluntarily Undertook the Responsibility of Studying Head Impacts In Football, Yet Fraudulently Concealed Their Long-Term Effects.

148. In 1994, then NFL commissioner Paul Tagliabue agreed to fund a committee to study the issue of head injury in the NFL. The NFL voluntarily and unilaterally formed the MTBI Committee to study the effects of concussions and sub-concussive injury on NFL players.

149. At that time, the current NFL Commissioner, Roger Goodell, was the NFL’s Vice President and Chief Operating Officer.

150. With the MTBI Committee, the NFL voluntarily inserted itself into the private and public discussion and research on an issue that goes to the core safety risk for players who participate

at every level of the game. Through its voluntary creation of the MTBI Committee, the NFL affirmatively assumed a duty to use reasonable care in the study of concussions and post-concussion syndrome in NFL players; the study of any kind of brain trauma relevant to the sport of football; the use of information developed; and the publication of data and/or pronouncements from the MTBI Committee.

151. Rather than exercising reasonable care in these duties, the NFL immediately engaged in a long-running course of fraudulent and negligent conduct, which included a campaign of disinformation designed to (a) dispute accepted and valid neuroscience regarding the connection between repetitive traumatic brain injuries and concussions and degenerative brain disease such as CTE; and (b) to create a falsified body of research which the NFL could cite as proof that truthful and accepted neuroscience on the subject was inconclusive and subject to doubt.

152. The NFL's response to the issue of brain injuries and degenerative brain disease in retired NFL players has been, until very recently, a concerted effort of deception and denial. The NFL actively tried to and did conceal the extent of the concussion and brain trauma problem, the risk to the Plaintiffs, and the risks to anyone else who played football.

153. The NFL's unparalleled status in the world of football gave the MTBI Committee's pronouncements on concussions authority and validity. The Plaintiffs, therefore, reasonably relied on the NFL's pronouncements and/or silence on this vital health issue.

154. The MTBI Committee's stated goal was to present objective findings on the extent to which a concussion problem existed in the League, and to outline solutions. Ironically, the MTBI Committee's studies were supposed to be geared toward "improv[ing] player safety" and for the purpose of instituting "rule changes aimed at reducing head injuries."

155. By 1994, when the NFL formed the MTBI Committee, independent scientists and neurologists alike were already convinced that all concussions—even seemingly mild ones—were serious injuries that can permanently damage the brain, impair thinking ability and memory, and hasten the onset of mental decay and senility, especially when they are inflicted frequently and without time to properly heal.

156. The MTBI Committee was publicized by the NFL as independent from the NFL, consisting of a combination of doctors and researchers.

157. The MTBI Committee, however, was not independent. It consisted of at least five (5) persons who were already affiliated with the NFL.

158. Instead of naming a noted neurologist to chair the MTBI Committee, or at least a physician with extensive training and experience treating head injuries, Commissioner Tagliabue appointed Dr. Elliot Pellman, a rheumatologist who lacked any specialized training or education relating to concussions, and who was a paid physician and trainer for the New York Jets.

159. Dr. Pellman had reportedly been fired by Major League Baseball for lying to Congress regarding his resume.

160. Dr. Pellman would chair the MTBI Committee from 1994-2007, and his leadership of the Committee came under frequent and harsh criticism related to his deficient medical training, background, and experience.

161. The fact that Dr. Pellman was a paid physician for an NFL Team was an obvious conflict of interest. At no time was Dr. Pellman independent of the NFL, because he was paid on an ongoing basis by an NFL Team.

162. The NFL failed to appoint any neuropathologist to the MTBI Committee.

163. From its inception in 1994, the MTBI Committee allegedly began conducting studies to determine the effect of concussions on the long-term health of NFL players.

164. Current NFL Commissioner Goodell confirmed this in June 2007 when he stated publicly that the NFL had been studying the effects of traumatic brain injury for “close to 14 years”

165. Under Dr. Pellman, the MTBI Committee spearheaded a disinformation campaign.

166. Dr. Pellman and two other MTBI Committee members, Dr. Ira Casson, a neurologist, and Dr. David Viano, a biomedical engineer, worked to discredit scientific studies that linked head impacts and concussions received by NFL players to neuro-cognitive disorders and disabilities.

167. The MTBI Committee did not publish its first findings on active players until 2003. In that publication, the MTBI Committee stated, contrary to years of (independent) findings, that there was no long term negative health consequence associated with concussions.

168. The MTBI Committee published its findings in a series of sixteen (16) papers between 2003 and 2009. According to the MTBI Committee, all of their findings supported a conclusion that there was no long term negative health consequence associated with concussions or sub-concussive injuries sustained by NFL players. These findings regularly contradicted the research and experiences of neurologists who treat sports concussions and the players who endured them.

169. Completely contrary to peer reviewed scientific publications, the NFL’s team of hand-picked so-called experts on the MTBI Committee did not find concussions to be of significant concern and felt it appropriate for players suffering a concussion to continue playing football during the same game or practice in which one was suffered. This recommendation and practice by the NFL, promoted by the MTBI Committee, was irresponsible and dangerous.

170. The MTBI Committee’s methodology and the conclusions reached in its research were criticized by independent experts due to the numerous flaws in the study design, methodology, and

interpretation of the data, which led to conclusions at odds with common medical knowledge and basic scientific protocol.

171. For example, in 2004 the MTBI Committee published a conclusion in which it claimed that its research found no risk of repeated concussions in players with previous concussions and that there was no “7-to-10 day window of increased susceptibility to sustaining another concussion.”

172. In a comment to this publication, one independent doctor wrote that “[t]he article sends a message that it is acceptable to return players while still symptomatic, which contradicts literature published over the past twenty years suggesting that athletes be returned to play only after they are asymptomatic, and in some cases for seven days.”

173. As a further example, an MTBI Committee conclusion in 2005 stated that “[p]layers who are concussed and return to the same game have fewer initial signs and symptoms than those removed from play. Return to play does not involve a significant risk of a second injury either in the same game or during the season.” “These data suggest,” the MTBI Committee reported, “that these players were at no increased risk” of subsequent concussions or prolonged symptoms such as memory loss, headaches, and disorientation.

174. Yet, a 2003 NCAA study of 2,905 college football players found just the opposite: “Those who have suffered concussions are more susceptible to further head trauma for seven to 10 days after the injury.”

175. Support for this same conclusion was developed as early as 1982 in studies conducted at the University of Virginia.

176. Dr. Pellman and his group stated repeatedly that the NFL study showed “no evidence of worsening injury or chronic cumulative effects of multiple [MTBI] in NFL players.”

177. The 2003 report by the Center for the Study of Retired Athletes at the University of North Carolina, however, found a link between multiple concussions and depression among former professional players with histories of concussions. A 2005 follow-up study by the Center showed a connection between concussions and both brain impairment and Alzheimer's disease among retired NFL players.

178. Other contrary conclusions that the MTBI Committee published at the behest, urging, and sponsorship of NFL over several years include, but are not limited to, the following:

Drs. Pellman and Viano stated that because a “significant percentage of players returned to play in the same game [as they suffered a concussion] and the overwhelming majority of players with concussions were kept out of football-related activities for less than 1 week, it can be concluded that mild [TBIs] in professional football are not serious injuries”;

that NFL players did not show a decline in brain function after a concussion;

that there were no ill effects among those who had three (3) or more concussions or who took hits to the head that sidelined them for a week or more;

that “no NFL player experienced the second-impact syndrome or cumulative encephalopathy from repeat concussions”; and

that NFL players' brains responded and healed faster than those of high school or college athletes with the same injuries.

179. The MTBI Committee's papers and conclusions were against the weight of the scientific evidence and based on biased data-collection techniques. They received significant criticism in the scientific and medical media from independent doctors and researchers and were met with skepticism in peer review segments following each article's publication.

180. Moreover, the conclusions of the MTBI Committee completely contradicted the medical testimony Hall of Fame player Mike Webster submitted to the NFL in his application for

disability, including the testimony the NFL's own paid expert submitted in connection with Mr. Webster's application.

181. Renowned experts Dr. Robert Cantu and Dr. Julian Bailes wrote harshly critical reviews of the studies' conclusions.

182. Dr. Cantu observed that the extremely small sample size and voluntary participation in the NFL's study suggested there was bias in choosing the sample. According to Dr. Cantu, no conclusions should be drawn from the NFL study.

183. A different scientist who reviewed the MTBI Committee's work further stated that the NFL appeared to be primarily preparing a defense for when injured players eventually sued, and that it seemed to be promoting a flawed scientific study to justify its conclusion that concussions do not have adverse effects on players.

184. Dr. Kevin Guskiewicz has stated that the "data that hasn't shown up makes their work questionable industry-funded research."

185. The MTBI Committee's work was criticized when repeated inconsistencies and irregularities in the MTBI Committee's data were revealed.

186. The MTBI Committee failed to include hundreds of neuropsychological tests done on NFL players in the results of the Committee's studies on the effects of concussions and was selective in its use of injury reports.

187. The results reported by Dr. Pellman and the MTBI Committee selectively excluded at least 850 baseline tests. In a paper published in *Neurosurgery* in December 2004, Dr. Pellman and the other MTBI Committee members reported on the baseline data for 655 players and the results for 95 players who had undergone both baseline testing and post-concussion testing. They concluded that NFL players did not show a decline in brain function after suffering concussions. Their further analysis

purportedly found no ill effects among those who had three or more concussions or who took hits to the head that kept them out for a week or more. The paper did not explain where the players in the study groups came from specifically or why certain player data was included and that data from hundreds of other players was not.

188. Pellman subsequently fired William Barr, a neuropsychologist for the New York Jets, after Dr. Barr presented at a conference some NCAA study findings that contradicted NFL practices.

189. As described in the following paragraphs, when faced with studies which tended to show a causal link between MTBI and cognitive degeneration, the NFL, through the MTBI Committee, produced contrary findings that were false, distorted, and deceptive to NFL players, participants in football nationwide, and the public at large.

190. Between 2002 and 2007, Dr. Bennet Omalu examined the brain tissue of deceased NFL players, including Mike Webster, Terry Long, Andre Waters, and Justin Strzelczyk. Dr. Omalu concluded that the players suffered from CTE.

191. All of these individuals suffered multiple concussions during their NFL careers. Later in life, each exhibited symptoms of deteriorated cognitive functions, paranoia, panic attacks, and depression.

192. Some of Dr. Omalu's findings were published in *Neurosurgery*. Those findings included that Webster's and Long's respective deaths were partially caused by CTE and were related to multiple concussions suffered during their activity in the NFL.

193. In response to Dr. Omalu's articles, the MTBI Committee wrote a letter to the editor of *Neurosurgery* asking that Dr. Omalu's article be retracted.

194. In an article published in *Neurosurgery* in 2007, Dr. Cantu reached a similar conclusion regarding Waters as Dr. Omalu had reached as to Webster and Long.

195. A 2003 study partially authored by Dr. Kevin Guskiewicz analyzed data from almost 2,500 retired NFL players and found that 263 of the retired players suffered from depression. The study found that having three or four concussions meant twice the risk of depression as never-concussed players and five or more concussions meant a nearly three-fold risk.

196. The NFL's MTBI Committee attacked these studies.

197. In November 2003, Dr. Guskiewicz was scheduled to appear on HBO's "Inside the NFL" to discuss his research. Dr. Pellman called Dr. Guskiewicz in advance and questioned whether it was in the best interest of Dr. Guskiewicz to appear on the program. On the program, Dr. Pellman stated unequivocally that he did not believe the results of the study led by Dr. Guskiewicz.

198. In 2005, Dr. Guskiewicz performed a clinical follow-up study, and found that retired players who sustained three or more concussions in the NFL had a five-fold prevalence of mild cognitive impairment in comparison to NFL retirees without a history of concussions. In doing this research, Dr. Guskiewicz conducted a survey of over 2,550 former NFL athletes.

199. The MTBI Committee attacked and sought to undermine the study, issuing the following excuse and delay tactic: "We want to apply scientific rigor to this issue to make sure that we're really getting at the underlying cause of what's happening. . . . You cannot tell that from a survey."

200. In August 2007, the NFL, in keeping with its scheme of fraud and deceit, issued a concussion pamphlet to players which stated:

Current research with professional athletes has not shown that having more than one or two concussions leads to permanent problems if each injury is managed properly. It is important to understand that there is no magic number for how many concussions is too many. Research is currently underway to determine if there are any long-term effects of concussion[s] in NFL athletes.

201. In a statement made around the time that the concussion pamphlet was released, NFL Commissioner Roger Goodell said, “We want to make sure all NFL players . . . are fully informed and take advantage of the most up to date information and resources as we continue to study the long-term impact of concussions.” The NFL decided that the “most up to date information” did not include the various independent studies indicating a causal link between multiple concussions and cognitive decline in later life.

202. Goodell also stated, “[b]ecause of the unique and complex nature of the brain, our goal is to continue to have concussions managed conservatively by outstanding medical personnel in a way that clearly emphasizes player safety over competitive concerns.”

203. The Plaintiffs relied to their detriment on the NFL’s disinformation, all of which was contrary to the findings of the independent scientists who had studied the issue, including Drs. Guskiewicz, Cantu, Omalu, and Bailes, regarding the causal link between multiple head injuries and concussions and cognitive decline.

204. The NFL’s conflict of interest and motive to suppress information regarding the risks of repetitive traumatic brain injuries and concussions was vividly demonstrated by Dr. Pellman’s treatment of a concussion sustained by former star New York Jets player Wayne Chrebet. This occurred in 2003, the same time period when Dr. Pellman chaired the MTBI Committee.

205. In November 2003, Chrebet sustained a concussion from another player’s knee to the back of his head. The impact left him face down on the field in an unconscious state for several minutes. Once Chrebet was on the sideline and conscious, Dr. Pellman administered tests. Dr. Pellman knew that Chrebet had sustained a concussion, but reportedly Chrebet performed adequately on standard memory tests. According to reports, Dr. Pellman asked Chrebet some questions, including whether he was “okay.” Chrebet responded that he was. Reportedly, Dr. Pellman told Chrebet that,

“This is very important for your career,” and sent Chrebet back into the game. Shortly thereafter, Chrebet was diagnosed with post-concussion syndrome and kept out of games for the remainder of the 2003 season.

206. Today, Chrebet is 38 years old and reportedly suffers from depression and memory problems.

207. In 2005, the MTBI Committee published a paper that stated “[p]layers who are concussed and return to the same game have fewer initial signs and symptoms than those removed from play. Return to play does not involve a significant risk of a second injury either in the same game or during the season.”

208. Facing increasing media scrutiny over the MTBI Committee’s questionable studies, Dr. Pellman eventually resigned as chair of the Committee in February 2007. He was replaced as chair by Dr. Ira Casson and Dr. David Viano, but remained a member of the Committee.

209. Dr. Guskiewicz, research director of the University of North Carolina’s Center for the Study of Retired Athletes, said at the time that Dr. Pellman was “the wrong person to chair the committee from a scientific perspective and the right person from the league’s perspective.”

210. Regarding Dr. Pellman’s work, Dr. Guskiewicz stated, “[w]e found this at the high school level, the college level and the professional level, that once you had a concussion or two you are at increased risk for future concussions,” but “[Dr. Pellman] continued to say on the record that’s not what they find and there’s no truth to it.”

211. Drs. Casson and Viano continued to dismiss outside studies and overwhelming evidence linking dementia and other cognitive decline to brain injuries. In 2007, in a televised interview on HBO’s Real Sports, Dr. Casson unequivocally stated that there was no link between concussions and depression, dementia, Alzheimer’s disease, or “anything like [that] whatsoever.”

212. In June 2007, the NFL convened a concussion summit for team doctors and trainers. Independent scientists, including Drs. Cantu, and Guskiewicz, presented their research to the NFL.

213. Dr. Julian Bailes, a neurosurgeon from West Virginia University, briefed the MTBI Committee on the findings of Dr. Omalu and other independent studies linking multiple NFL head injuries with cognitive decline. Dr. Bailes recalled that the MTBI's Committee's reaction to his presentation was adversarial: "The Committee got mad . . . we got into it. And I'm thinking, 'This is a . . . disease in America's most popular sport and how are its leaders responding? Alienate the scientist who found it? Refuse to accept the science coming from him?'"

214. At the summit, Dr. Casson told team doctors and trainers that CTE has never been scientifically documented in football players.

215. After reviewing five years of data of on-field concussions, the NFL falsely concluded that there was no evidence for an increase in secondary brain injuries after a concussion.

216. In 2008, Boston University's Dr. Ann McKee found CTE in the brains of two more deceased NFL players, John Grimsley and Tom McHale. Dr. McKee stated, "the easiest way to decrease the incidence of CTE [in contact sport athletes] is to decrease the number of concussions." Dr. McKee further noted that "[t]here is overwhelming evidence that [CTE] is the result of repeated sublethal brain trauma."

217. A MTBI Committee representative characterized each study as an "isolated incident" from which no conclusion could be drawn, and said he would wait to comment further until Dr. McKee's research was published in a peer-reviewed journal. When Dr. McKee's research was published in 2009, Dr. Casson asserted that "there is not enough valid, reliable or objective scientific evidence at present to determine whether . . . repeat head impacts in professional football result in long[-]term brain damage."

218. In 2008, under increasing pressure, the NFL commissioned the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research to conduct a study on the health of retired players. Over 1,000 former NFL players took part in the study. The results of the study, released in 2009, reported that "Alzheimer's disease or similar memory-related diseases appear to have been diagnosed in the league's former players vastly more often than in the national population---including a rate of 19 times the normal rate for men ages 30 through 49."

219. The NFL, who commissioned the study, responded to these results by claiming that the study was incomplete, and that further findings would be needed. NFL spokesperson Greg Aiello stated that the study was subject to shortcomings and did not formally diagnose dementia. Dr. Casson implied that the Michigan study was inconclusive and stated that further work was required. Other experts in the field found the NFL's reaction to be "bizarre," noting that "they paid for the study, yet they tried to distance themselves from it."

**The Congressional Inquiry and
The NFL's Acknowledgement of the Concussion Crisis**

220. Shortly after the results of the Michigan study were released, Representative John Conyers, Jr., Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, called for hearings on the impact of head injuries sustained by NFL players.

221. Drs. Cantu and McKee testified before the House of Representatives, Committee on the Judiciary, to discuss the long-term impact of football-related head injuries.

222. At the first hearing in October 2009, NFL Commissioner Roger Goodell acknowledged that the NFL owes a duty to the public at large to educate them as to the risks of concussions due to the League's unique position of influence: "In addition to our millions of fans, more than three million youngsters aged 6-14 play tackle football each year; more than one million high school players also do

so and nearly seventy five thousand collegiate players as well. We must act in their best interests even if these young men never play professional football.”

223. When Representative Linda Sanchez questioned Goodell about the limited nature of the NFL’s purported studies on repetitive traumatic brain injuries and concussions, the conflicts of interest of those directing the studies, and the potential for bias, Goodell evaded answering the questions.

224. On December 17, 2009, Cincinnati Bengals wide receiver Chris Henry, 26, who played in the NFL from 2004 to 2009, died after falling from the back of a truck. Drs. Omalu and Bailes performed a postmortem study on Henry’s brain and diagnosed him with CTE.

225. In January 2010, the House Judiciary Committee held further hearings on football player head injuries. Representative Conyers observed that “until recently, the NFL had minimized and disputed evidence linking head injuries to mental impairment in the future.”

226. Representative Sanchez commented that “[i]t seems to me that the N.F.L. has literally been dragging its feet on this issue until the past few years. Why did it take 15 years?”

227. In the 2010 Congressional hearings, Dr. Casson gave testimony that denied the validity of other non-NFL studies and stated that “[t]here is not enough valid, reliable or objective scientific evidence at present to determine whether or not repeat head impacts in professional football result in long term brain damage.”

228. The members of the MTBI Committee, however, knew of the decades-old studies linking MTBI to long-term neurological problems. Casson, a MTBI Committee member since its inception, stated before Congress on January 4, 2010, that he was “the lead author of a landmark paper on brain damage in modern boxers that was published in the [Journal of the American Medical Association] in 1984.” That paper, which referenced the many studies documenting CTE in boxers, studied eighteen former and active boxers and found that eighty-seven percent of the professional

boxers had definite evidence of brain damage. Specifically, the study determined that the subjects performed particularly poorly on neuropsychological tests measuring short-term memory.

229. In his written statement to Congress, Casson stated that he has “been concerned about the possibility of long term effects on the brain related to football for close to thirty years.” Dr. Casson offered that one of the reasons he “was asked to be on the NFL MTBI committee was because of [his] knowledge of and experience treating boxers with chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE).”

230. This testimony contradicted Casson’s testimony that “there is not enough valid, reliable or objective scientific evidence at present to determine whether or not repeat head impacts in professional football result in long term brain damage.”

231. On February 1, 2010, Dr. Omalu spoke before members of the House Judiciary Committee at a forum in Houston, Texas with regard to “Head and Other Injuries in Youth, High School, College, and Professional Football.” In his prepared testimony, he explained:

Glenn Pop Warner [1871 – 1954] founded the Pop Warner youth football league in 1929. He still remains one of the greatest football coaches in the history of American football. The single event, which necessitated the use of pads and helmets by football players took place in 1888 when the annual rules convention for the emerging sport of college football passed a rule permitting tackling below the waist.

Football changed dramatically. Teams no longer arrayed themselves across the entire breath of the field. Teams bunched themselves around the runner to block for him. The wedge and mass play arrived. Football became, for a time, a savage sport full of fights, brawling, even fatalities.”

In 1912, Pop Warner said: “Playing without helmets gives players more confidence, saves their heads from many hard jolts, and keeps their ears from becoming torn or sore. I do not encourage their use. I have never seen an accident to the head which was serious, but I have many times seen cases when hard bumps on the head so dazed the player receiving them that he lost his memory for a time and had to be removed from the game.”

We have known about concussions and the effects of concussions in football for over a century. Every blow to the head is dangerous. Repeated concussions and sub-concussions both have the capacity to cause permanent

brain damage. During practice and during games, a single player can sustain close to one thousand or more hits to the head in only one season without any documented or reported incapacitating concussion. Such repeated blows over several years, no doubt, can result in permanent impairment of brain functioning especially in a child.

232. After the Congressional hearings, the NFLPA called for the removal of Dr. Casson as MTBI Committee co-chair, and stated, “Our view is that he’s a polarizing figure on this issue, and the players certainly don’t feel like he can be an impartial party on this subject.”

233. In 2010, the NFL re-named the MTBI Committee the “Head, Neck, and Spine Medical Committee” (the “Medical Committee”) and announced that Dr. Pellman would no longer be a member of the panel. Drs. H. Hunt Batjer and Richard G. Ellenbogen were selected to replace Casson and Viano. The two new co-chairmen selected Dr. Mitchel S. Berger to serve on the new Medical Committee.

234. Under its new leadership, the Committee admitted that data collected by the NFL’s formerly appointed brain-injury leadership was “infected,” and said that their Committee should be assembled anew. The Medical Committee formally requested that Dr. Pellman not speak at one of its initial conferences.

235. During a May 2010 Congressional hearing, a Congressman made it plain to Drs. Batjer and Ellenbogen that the NFL: “[had] years of an infected system here, and your job is . . . to mop [it] up.”

236. Shortly after the May 2010 hearing, Dr. Batjer was quoted as admitting, “[w]e all had issues with some of the methodologies described, the inherent conflict of interest that was there in many areas, that was not acceptable by any modern standards or not acceptable to us. I wouldn’t put up with that, our universities wouldn’t put up with that, and we don’t want our professional reputations damaged by conflicts that were put upon us.”

237. In June 2010, scientific evidence linked multiple concussions to yet another degenerative brain disease—Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (“ALS”), commonly referred to as “Lou Gehrig’s Disease.”

238. On February 17, 2011, former Chicago Bears and New York Giants player Dave Duerson committed suicide. Fifty years old at the time, Duerson had suffered months of headaches, blurred vision, and faltering memory.

239. Before his death, Duerson wrote a final note that asked that his brain be given to the NFL brain bank for evaluation. After his death, Dr. Cantu determined that Duerson was suffering from CTE.

240. When this information was reported, NFLPA Executive Director DeMaurice Smith stated that the fact that Duerson was suffering from CTE

makes it abundantly clear what the cost of football is for the men who played and the families. It seems to me that any decision or course of action that doesn’t recognize that as the truth is not only perpetuating a lie, but doing a disservice to what [Duerson] feared and what he wanted to result from the donation of his brain to science.

241. In July 2011, John Mackey, former tight end of the Baltimore Colts died. Mackey was diagnosed with front temporal lobe dementia in 2007, forcing him to live full-time in an assisted living facility.

The NFL’s New Committee

242. In October 2011, Dr. Mitchel Berger of the NFL’s new Head, Neck, and Spine Medical Committee announced that a new study was in the planning process. He admitted that the MTBI Committee’s previous long-range study was useless because “[t]here was no science in that.” Dr. Berger further stated that data from the previous study would not be used. “We’re really moving on

from that data. There's really nothing we can do with that data in terms of how it was collected and assessed."

243. Why in 1994 (and far earlier) the NFL (and its MTBI Committee) failed to share accurate information and take appropriate actions is difficult to comprehend in light of the fact that the NFL has known for decades that multiple blows to the head can lead to long-term brain injury, including memory loss, dementia, depression, and CTE and its related symptoms. Instead, the NFL misled players, coaches, trainers, and the public, and actively spread disinformation.

244. It took decades for the NFL to admit that there was a problem and sixteen years to admit that its information was false and inaccurate. The NFL's conduct in this regard is willful and wanton and exhibits a reckless disregard for the safety of its players and the public at large. At a minimum, the NFL acted with callous indifference to the duty it voluntarily assumed to the Plaintiffs and players at every level of the game.

245. As a direct result of the fraudulent concealment and misrepresentations by the NFL, former players have for many decades been led to believe that the symptoms of early-onset dementia, ALS, loss of memory, headaches, confusion, and the inability to function were not caused by events occurring while they played in the NFL. And, as a result of this willful and malicious conduct, these former players have been deprived of medical treatment, incurred expenses, lost employment, suffered humiliation, and sustained other damages to be specified.

COUNT I
ACTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF – LIABILITY
(Against the NFL)

246. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

247. There is a case and controversy among Plaintiffs on the one hand and the NFL on the other.

248. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, Plaintiffs seeks a declaration as to the following:

- a) that the Defendant NFL knew or reasonably should have known, at all times material, that the repeated traumatic head impacts the Plaintiffs endured while playing NFL football were likely to expose them to excess risk to neurodegenerative disorders and diseases, including but not limited to CTE, Alzheimer's disease or similar cognitive-impairing conditions;
- b) that based on the Defendant NFL's voluntary undertaking to study the issue of MTBI, it had a duty to advise Plaintiffs of that heightened risk;
- c) that Defendant NFL willfully and intentionally concealed from and misled the Plaintiffs concerning that risk; and
- d) that Defendant NFL recklessly endangered Plaintiffs.

COUNT II
MEDICAL MONITORING
(Against the NFL)

249. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

250. The Plaintiffs experienced repetitive traumatic brain impacts during their respective NFL careers that significantly increased their risk of developing neurodegenerative disorders and diseases, including but not limited to CTE, Alzheimer's disease, and other similar cognitive-impairing conditions.

251. Repetitive MTBI during NFL practices and games has a microscopic and latent effect on the brain. Repetitive exposure to accelerations to the head causes deformation, twisting,

shearing, and stretching of neuronal cells such that multiple forms of damage take place, including the release of small amounts of chemicals within the brain, such as the Tau protein. Among other things, the gradual build-up of Tau protein – sometimes over decades -- causes CTE, which is the same phenomenon as boxer’s encephalopathy (or “punch drunk syndrome”) studied and reported by Harrison Martland in 1928.

252. The game of football as played in the NFL, including both practices and game play, has exposed former players to hazardous conditions and out-of-the ordinary risks of harm. These repetitive head accelerations to which the Plaintiffs have been exposed presented risks of latent but long-term debilitating chronic illnesses which are not presented to the normal population. Absent the defendant’s negligence, fraud, and misrepresentations, the Plaintiffs’ exposure to the risks of harm as described above would have been materially lower.

253. Accordingly, the repetitive head impacts sustained by NFL players in NFL games and practices exposed NFL players, including the Plaintiffs, to subtle and repetitive changes within the brain on the cellular level. For that reasons, the environment within which NFL players have sustained repetitive head impacts exposed them to substantive hazards.

254. Depending on many factors, including the amount of the exposure to repetitive head impacts and the release of Tau protein, the player/victim will develop a range of subtle to significant neuro-cognitive changes over time.

255. The latent injuries which develop over time and manifest later in life include but are not limited to varying forms of neuro-cognitive disability, decline, personality change, mood swings, rage, and, sometimes, fully developed encephalopathy.

256. Like the organizers of boxing, the NFL was fully aware of the danger of exposing all NFL players to repetitive head impacts, including the repetitive sub-concussive and concussive blows that increase the risk to NFL players of, among other latent injuries, encephalopathy.

257. As noted above, by its actions and omissions and fraudulent conduct, from 1994 through 2010, the NFL further breached its duty (which it had assumed as long ago as the 1930s) of reasonable and ordinary care to the Plaintiffs by failing to provide NFL players, including the Plaintiffs, with necessary, adequate, and truthful information about the heightened risks of neurological damage that arise from repetitive head impacts during NFL games and practices.

258. As a proximate result of the NFL's tortious conduct, the Plaintiffs have experienced an increased risk of developing serious latent neurodegenerative disorders and diseases, including but not limited to CTE, Alzheimer's disease, and/or other and similar cognitive-impairing conditions.

259. The latent brain injuries from which Plaintiffs suffer require specialized testing (with resultant treatment) that is not generally given to the public at large.

260. The available monitoring regime is specific for individuals exposed to repetitive head trauma and is different from that normally recommended in the absence of exposure to this risk of harm.

261. The medical monitoring regime includes, but is not limited to, baseline tests and diagnostic examinations which will assist in diagnosing the adverse health effects associated with football-related MTBI. This diagnosis will facilitate the treatment and behavioral and/or pharmaceutical interventions that will prevent or mitigate various adverse consequences of the latent neurodegenerative disorders and diseases associated with the repetitive sub-concussive and concussive injuries that Plaintiffs experienced in the NFL.

262. The available monitoring regime is reasonably necessary according to contemporary scientific principles within the medical community specializing in the diagnosis of head injuries and their potential link to, *inter alia*, memory loss, impulse rage, depression, early-onset dementia, CTE, Alzheimer-like syndromes, and similar cognitive-impairing conditions.

263. By monitoring and testing Plaintiffs, the risk that Plaintiffs will suffer long term injuries, disease, and losses will be significantly reduced.

264. By monitoring and testing Plaintiffs, the risk that Plaintiffs will suffer long term injuries, disease, and losses without adequate treatment will be significantly reduced.

265. Plaintiffs, therefore, seek an injunction creating a Court-supervised, NFL-funded medical monitoring program which will facilitate the diagnosis and adequate treatment of Plaintiffs for neurodegenerative disorder or disease. The medical monitoring should include a trust fund to pay for the medical monitoring and treatment of Plaintiffs as frequently and appropriately as necessary.

266. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law in that monetary damages alone cannot compensate them for the continued risk of developing long-term physical and economic losses due to concussions and sub-concussive injuries. Without Court-approved medical monitoring as described herein, or established by the Court, the Plaintiffs will continue to face an unreasonable risk of continued injury and disability.

COUNT III
WRONGFUL DEATH AND SURVIVAL ACTIONS
(Against the NFL)

267. Plaintiffs and their respective Executors or equivalent legal representatives under applicable state law (hereinafter “Executors”) incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

268. The Plaintiffs' legal representatives bring this action in their representative capacity of the decedent's Estate and next of kin and on behalf of the respective survivors of those Plaintiffs.

269. As a direct and proximate cause of the conduct alleged herein, the NFL caused the Plaintiffs to develop the debilitating brain diseases and conditions set forth above, which diseases and conditions caused extreme pain, suffering, and anguish and, ultimately, the deaths of some Plaintiffs.

270. The legal representatives of the deceased Plaintiffs claim damages recoverable under applicable law for all pecuniary and non-pecuniary losses suffered by the deceased Plaintiffs by reason of their deaths.

271. As a direct and proximate result of the untimely deaths of the Plaintiffs, their respective survivors and/or surviving distributees have been deprived of the earnings, maintenance, guidance, support and comfort that they would have received from for the rest of the respective Plaintiffs' natural lives, and have suffered commensurate pecuniary and non-pecuniary losses because of the Plaintiffs' wrongful deaths.

272. The Plaintiffs' legal representatives claim the full measure of damages allowed under applicable law.

COUNT IV
FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT
(Against the NFL)

273. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

274. Between the early 1950s and 1994, the NFL knew that repetitive head impacts in football games and full-contact practices created a risk of harm to NFL players that was similar or

identical to the risk of harm to, for example, boxers who receive repetitive impacts to the head during boxing practices and matches.

275. The NFL has been aware of and understood the significance of the published medical literature dating from as early as the 1920s that there is a serious risk of short-term and long-term brain injury associated with repetitive traumatic impacts to the head to which NFL players are exposed.

276. During that time period, the NFL knowingly and fraudulently concealed from then-current NFL players and former NFL players the risks of head injuries in NFL games and practices, including the risks associated with returning to physical activity too soon after sustaining a sub-concussive or concussive injury.

277. From 1994 through June of 2010, the NFL's fraudulent concealment continued. During that time period, the NFL voluntarily funded and produced its own purported scientific research and through that research repeatedly misrepresented to then-current and former NFL players, the United States Congress, and the general public that there is no link (or an insufficient scientific link) between MTBI in NFL activities and later-in-life cognitive/brain injury, including CTE and its related symptoms.

278. Given the NFL's superior and unique vantage point, the Plaintiffs reasonably looked to the NFL for guidance on head injuries and concussions.

279. The NFL's MTBI Committee published articles and the concussion pamphlet referenced above, all of which concealed and minimized the risks of repetitive brain impacts the NFL knew existed for its then-current players and for its former players, who reasonably relied on the NFL's pronouncements and/or silence on this vital health issue.

280. The NFL's concussion pamphlet created an atmosphere of trust that the NFL had carefully undertaken its voluntary responsibility to research, test, study, and report accurate findings to the players and former players. The NFL stated that "[w]e want to make sure all NFL players ... are fully informed and take advantage of the most up to date information and resources as we continue to study the long-term impact of concussions."

281. The concealment was ongoing. Dr. Casson provided oral and written testimony at the 2010 congressional hearings in which he continued to deny the validity of other studies. Dr. Casson also denied the link between repetitive brain impacts and short- and long-term brain damage in public interviews.

282. The NFL, therefore, concealed material facts and information with the intent to deceive and defraud, which caused Plaintiffs to become exposed to the harm referenced above. For those Plaintiffs who had retired prior to the above-mentioned misrepresentations, the NFL's concerted concealment of the risks to which they had been exposed on the playing field delayed their ability to plan for the future of themselves and their families and to seek appropriate treatment of their latent neurodegenerative conditions.

283. The NFL knew and expected that Plaintiffs would rely on the inaccurate information provided by the NFL, and Plaintiffs in fact did reasonably rely on the inaccurate information provided by the NFL during and after their NFL careers.

284. As a direct and proximate result of the NFL's fraudulent conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered physical injury, including, but not limited to, existing and latent cognitive conditions that create memory loss, diminished cognitive function, non-economic losses, and economic losses.

285. As a direct and proximate result of the NFL's willful concealment, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injuries, emotional distress, pain and suffering, and economic and non-economic damages that are ongoing and continuing in nature.

286. As a result of the NFL's misconduct as alleged herein, the NFL is liable to Plaintiffs for, and Plaintiffs seek, the full measure of damages allowed under applicable law.

COUNT V
FRAUD
(Against the NFL)

287. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-245 and 279-283 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

288. At least since the early 1950s the NFL knew that repetitive head impacts in football games and full-contact practices created a risk of harm to NFL players that was similar or identical to the risk of harm to boxers who receive the same or similar repetitive impacts to the head during boxing practices and matches.

289. The NFL knew that the risks of brain injury could be reduced by implementing changes to the game, akin to the ones the NFL belatedly adopted in 2011, such as (1) the baseline cognitive testing of players for comparison purposes during and after contact play, (2) the active monitoring of players for signs of MTBI, (3) the employment of a neurologist on the sidelines, and (4) return-to-play rules consistent with proper medical management of MTBI.

290. The NFL, however, withheld the information it knew about the risks of head injuries in the game from then-current NFL players and former NFL players and ignored the known risks to all NFL players.

291. On information and belief, the NFL deliberately delayed implementing the changes to the game it knew could reduce players' exposure to the risk of life-altering head injuries because those changes would be expensive and would reduce the profitability of the League.

292. The NFL has been aware of and understood the significance of the published medical literature dating from as early as the 1920s that there is a serious risk of short-term and long-term brain injury associated with repetitive traumatic impacts to the head to which NFL players are exposed.

293. The NFL and its agents -- employed to formulate the MTBI committee and populate the published scientific literature with "studies" intent on disputing the conclusions of independent researchers regarding the long-term chronic disabilities and injuries associated with head injury -- made these material misrepresentations with the intent to defraud the Plaintiffs.

294. Given the NFL's superior and unique vantage point, the Plaintiffs reasonably looked to the NFL for guidance on head injuries and concussions.

295. During that time period, the NFL knowingly and fraudulently concealed from then-current NFL players and former NFL players the risks of head injuries in NFL games and practices, including the risks associated with returning to physical activity too soon after sustaining a sub-concussive or concussive injury.

296. The NFL, however, withheld this information from then-current NFL players and former NFL players and ignored the known risks to all NFL players.

297. Beginning in 1994, the NFL and its agents funded and created a falsified body of purported scientific research that misrepresented to then-current NFL players, all former NFL players, the United States Congress, and the general public that there was no scientifically proven

link between repetitive sub-concussive and concussive injuries sustained during football and brain injury, including but not limited to CTE and its related symptoms.

298. The NFL and its agents -- employed to formulate the MTBI Committee and populate the published scientific literature with “studies” intent on disputing the conclusions of independent researchers regarding the long-term chronic disabilities and injuries associated with head injury -- made these material misrepresentations with the intent to defraud the Plaintiffs.

299. During their playing days and after their retirement from the NFL, the Plaintiffs justifiably and reasonably relied on the NFL’s omissions and misrepresentations to their detriment.

300. As a result of the NFL’s misconduct as alleged herein, the NFL is liable to Plaintiffs.

301. The Plaintiffs were damaged by the NFL’s misconduct. They have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injuries, emotional distress, pain and suffering, and economic and non-economic damages that are ongoing and continuing in nature.

302. As a result of the NFL’s fraud, the NFL is liable to Plaintiffs for, and Plaintiffs seek, the full measure of damages allowed under applicable law.

COUNT VI
NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION
(Against the NFL)

303. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

304. A special relationship exists between the NFL and the Plaintiffs sufficient to impose a duty on the NFL to disclose accurate information to the Plaintiffs.

305. Prior to 1994, the NFL knew that repetitive head impacts in football games and practices created a risk of harm to NFL players that was similar or identical to the risk of harm to boxers who receive repetitive impacts to the head during boxing practices and matches.

306. Prior to 1994, the NFL was aware of and understood the significance of the published medical literature demonstrating the serious risk of both short-term and long-term adverse consequences from the kind of repetitive traumatic impacts to the head to which NFL players were exposed.

307. The NFL, however, withheld this information from NFL players and ignored the risks to NFL players.

308. Before June of 2010, the NFL made material misrepresentations to its players, former players, the United States Congress, and the public at large that there was no scientifically proven link between repetitive traumatic head impacts and later-in-life cognitive/brain injury, including CTE and its related symptoms.

309. Defendant NFL, therefore, misrepresented the dangers the Plaintiffs faced in returning to action after sustaining a head injury and the long-term effects of continuing to play football after a head injury.

310. The NFL's MTBI Committee made public statements, published articles, and issued the concussion pamphlet to its players, which the NFL knew or should have known were misleading, downplaying and obfuscating to NFL players the true and serious risks of repetitive traumatic head impacts.

311. The MTBI Committee made material misrepresentations on multiple occasions, including but not limited to testimony at congressional hearings and other information issued to current and former NFL Players.

312. The Plaintiffs' reliance on the NFL was reasonable, given the NFL's superior and unique vantage point on these issues.

313. The Defendant's misrepresentations included the false statement that present NFL players were not at an increased risk of short-term and long-term adverse consequences if they returned too soon to an NFL games or practices after suffering head trauma and, therefore, that former players had not been exposed to such increased risk during their time in the NFL.

314. The NFL's misrepresentations included ongoing and baseless criticism of legitimate scientific studies that set forth the dangers and risks of head impacts which NFL players regularly sustained.

315. The NFL made these misrepresentations and actively concealed true information at a time when it knew, or should have known, because of its superior position of knowledge, that the Plaintiffs faced serious health problems if they returned to a game too soon after sustaining a concussion.

316. The NFL knew or should have known the misleading nature of their statements when they were made.

317. The NFL made the misrepresentations and actively concealed information knowing that the Plaintiffs would and did rely on the misrepresentations or omissions in, among other things, how the Plaintiffs addressed the concussive and sub-concussive injuries they sustained. For those Plaintiffs who had retired prior to the above-mentioned misrepresentations, the NFL's concerted concealment of the risks to which they had been exposed on the playing field delayed their ability to plan for the future of themselves and their families and to seek appropriate treatment of their latent neurodegenerative conditions.

318. As a result of the NFL's misrepresentations, it is liable to Plaintiffs.

319. As a direct and proximate result of the NFL's negligent misrepresentations, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer serious personal injury, including neuro-cognitive brain disease and associated damages including mental disability, loss of income, pain and suffering, emotional distress, and loss of consortium. Plaintiffs seek the full measure of damages allowed under applicable law.

COUNT VII
NEGLIGENCE: PRE-1968 CONDUCT OF THE NFL
(Against the NFL)

320. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-11, 19-20, 22(a)-22(b), 23-52, 67-103, and 107-147 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

321. In 1922, the American Professional Football Association became the National Football League and in 1933, the NFL began developing rules and regulations of play that were significantly different than those previously followed and were used by collegiate football leagues.

322. In 1937, the American Football Coaches Association published a report warning that players who suffer a concussion should be removed from sports demanding personal contact.

323. Between 1933 and 1968, the NFL assumed and carried out a duty to supervise how the game of football was played in the United States.

324. Between 1933 and 1968, the NFL assumed and carried out a duty to inform and advise players and teams of the foreseeable harm that can arise from such things as the use of leather helmets, the need to wear hard plastic helmets to reduce head wounds and internal injury (1943) and the grabbing of an opponent's facemask—to minimize or avoid head and neck injuries (1956/1962). These warnings and imposed safety rules were furnished by the NFL because it had assumed a duty to provide a safe environment for players and because of its superior knowledge of the risks of injury to players.

325. Based on information and belief, the NFL voluntarily inserted itself into the tasks assumed by others to develop helmet safety standards to reduce the risk of head injury while playing football. Despite its voluntary participation in these activities, the defendant negligently failed to adopt these standards for a considerable period of time after others had done so.

326. During this time period, the NFL knew or should have known of medical or scientific literature regarding the risks of short- and long-term neuro-cognitive disabilities and deficits to athletes exposed to MTBI.

327. During this time period, the NFL knew or should have known that repetitive sub-concussive and concussive blows to the heads of NFL players can and do result in short-term and long-term brain damage.

328. During this time period, the NFL knew or should have known that it was the practice in the NFL to compel or cajole players to play with injuries, including sub-concussive injuries, concussive injuries and injuries involving a loss of consciousness.

329. During this time period, the NFL had superior knowledge (as compared to the NFL players themselves) that athletic sporting events causing sub-concussive and concussive injuries posed a serious risk of short-term and long-term cognitive disabilities.

330. The NFL's failure to address the continuing health risks associated with sub-concussive and/or concussive injuries that NFL players sustained before 1968 constituted a breach of its duty to these players, which has resulted in long term neuro-cognitive problems and disabilities to former NFL players, including the Plaintiffs.

331. During this time period, the NFL's continuing perpetration of the dangerous myth that NFL players are tough and can withstand "getting their bell rung," "suffering dings," or temporarily losing consciousness while playing constitutes causative negligence. The perpetration

of misleading and false statements and a philosophy of invincibility nurtured and publicized by the NFL throughout this time period constitutes continuing negligent conduct which the NFL never stopped perpetrating until subject to Congressional scrutiny and the civil lawsuits embodied by the Master Administrative Long-Form Complaint.

332. Given the NFL's superior and unique vantage point on the issue of head injuries and concussions, the Plaintiffs reasonably relied to their detriment on the NFL's actions and omissions on the subject.

333. The failure of the NFL to publicize within the League—to active players—and to the public at large, including retired players, the mounting evidence in the scientific literature of the evolving and chronic neuro-cognitive problems amongst former players caused then-current players and retired players to believe that their physical and psychological problems (as described herein) were neither serious nor related to football. These commissions or omissions caused the Plaintiffs to ignore the need for necessary treatment. Likewise, these omissions and commissions had the institutional effect of reducing the interest in helmet safety research, avoiding changes in rule-playing to minimize head injury, avoiding the need to promulgate rules affecting the return-to-play rules when concussive events are detected, and establishing programs to educate players about the long-term health risks of sub-concussive and concussive impacts.

COUNT VIII
NEGLIGENCE: POST-1968 CONDUCT OF THE NFL
(Against the NFL)

334. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

335. Increasingly, during the 1970s, 1980s and 1990s, the defendant NFL (and the marketing arm of the NFL) marketed the game of football as acceptably violent, and it rewarded its

most violent players. This marketing technique was directed to the general public, the football community of players associated with organized football from sandlot to college. In pursuing these concerted marketing techniques, the defendant knew or should have known that its conflation of concussive-inducing violence with heroism would induce NFL players and those who aspired to play in the NFL to play with reckless violence.

336. In its marketing scheme, the defendant NFL developed print and film packages that were widely distributed throughout the United States to media outlets and organized football programs as a powerful method to convince current players and those in college and high school football that the greater the hit the bigger the accolades.

337. In the early 1990s, the NFL voluntarily undertook to study the issue of neurocognitive injuries in former NFL players.

338. In 1994, in connection with that voluntary undertaking, the NFL created the aforementioned MTBI Committee.

339. The NFL recognized that its voluntary undertaking to study and report information about the effect of head impacts on NFL players would not just be for the benefit of then-present and former NFL players alone. Since the NFL is the most prominent and influential entity in the sport of football, the NFL knew or should have known that its MTBI Committee's statements would have a broad public impact.

340. By voluntarily undertaking to study and report on the issue of the neurocognitive effects of head impacts in professional football, the NFL assumed a duty to exercise reasonable care in the MTBI Committee's work and the NFL and its agents' public statements about the substance of the Committee's work.

341. However, the MTBI Committee negligently performed the NFL's voluntarily undertaken research mission.

342. In addition, from 1994 through June of 2010, the NFL and its MTBI Committee made material misrepresentations to players, former players, the United States Congress, and the public at large that there was no scientifically valid link between repetitive traumatic head impacts and later-in-life cognitive/brain injury, including CTE and its related symptoms.

343. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in its voluntarily assumed duty increased the risk that the Plaintiffs would suffer long-term neurocognitive injuries.

344. The Plaintiffs reasonably relied to their detriment on the NFL's actions and omissions on the subject.

345. Under all of the above circumstances, it was foreseeable that the NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its voluntarily undertaken duties would cause or substantially contribute to the personal injuries suffered by the Plaintiffs.

346. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its voluntarily undertaken duties proximately caused or contributed to Plaintiffs' injuries.

347. As a result of the NFL's negligence, the NFL is liable to Plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs are entitled to, and seek, all damages allowed by applicable law.

COUNT IX
NEGLIGENCE: CONDUCT OF THE NFL (BETWEEN 1987 AND 1993)
(Against the NFL)

348. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-13, 19-20, 22(a)-22(b), 23-56, 58-65, 67-103, and 106-147 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

349. This Count relates to the misconduct of the NFL defendant during the years 1987 and 1993, and pertains only to Plaintiffs who played in the NFL during this time period.

350. During this time period, the NFL defendant actively recognized its common law duties (described in Counts VII and VIII above) and continued, albeit negligently, to carry them out.

351. Consequently, each factual and legal claim set forth in Counts VII and VIII set forth above (with the exception of any paragraphs pertaining to conduct after 1993) is incorporated by reference herein as if they were set forth at length.

COUNT X
NEGLIGENCE: POST-1994 CONDUCT OF THE NFL
(Against the NFL)

352. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 set forth above, with the exception of paragraphs 6-12, 14, 19, 43, 84 and 86-107.

353. Increasingly, during the 1970s, 1980s and 1990s, the defendant NFL (and the marketing arm of the NFL) marketed the game of football as acceptably violent, and it rewarded its most violent players. This marketing technique was directed to the general public, the football community of players associated with organized football from sandlot to college. In pursuing these concerted marketing techniques, the defendant knew or should have known that its conflation of concussive-inducing violence with heroism would induce NFL players and those who aspired to play in the NFL to play with reckless violence.

354. In its marketing scheme, the defendant NFL developed print and film packages that were widely distributed throughout the United States to media outlets and organized football programs as a powerful method to convince current players and those in college and high school football that the greater the hit, the bigger the accolades.

355. Notwithstanding the NFL's monetization of violence, in the early 1990s, the NFL voluntarily undertook to study the issue of neurocognitive injuries in former NFL players.

356. In 1994, in connection with that voluntary undertaking, the NFL created the aforementioned MTBI Committee.

357. The NFL recognized that its voluntary undertaking to study and report information about the effect of head impacts on NFL players would not just be for the benefit of then-present and former NFL players alone. Since the NFL is the most prominent and influential entity in the sport of football, the NFL knew or should have known that its MTBI Committee's statements would have a broad public impact.

358. By voluntarily undertaking to study and report on the issue of the neurocognitive effects of head impacts in professional football, the NFL assumed a duty to exercise reasonable care in the MTBI Committee's work and the NFL and its agents' public statements about the substance of the Committee's work.

359. However, the MTBI Committee negligently performed the NFL's voluntarily undertaken research mission.

360. In addition, from 1994 through June of 2010, the NFL and its MTBI Committee made material misrepresentations to players, former players, the United States Congress, and the public at large that there was no scientifically valid link between repetitive traumatic head impacts and later-in-life cognitive/brain injury, including CTE and its related symptoms.

361. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in its voluntarily assumed duty increased the risk that the Plaintiffs would suffer long-term neurocognitive injuries.

362. Given the NFL's superior and unique vantage point on the issue of head injuries and concussions, the Plaintiffs reasonably relied to their detriment on the NFL's actions and omissions on the subject.

363. Under all of the above circumstances, it was foreseeable that the NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its voluntarily undertaken duties would cause or substantially contribute to the personal injuries suffered by the Plaintiffs.

364. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its voluntarily undertaken duties proximately caused or contributed to Plaintiffs' injuries.

365. As a result of the NFL's negligence, the NFL is liable to Plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs are entitled to, and do seek, all damages allowed by applicable law.

COUNT XI
LOSS OF CONSORTIUM
(Against the NFL Defendants and/or the Riddell Defendants)

366. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Spouses incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein paragraphs applicable to the Counts they or their spouses have pled in their respective Short Form Complaints.

367. As a result of the named Defendants' misconduct, the named Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs' Spouses.

368. As a direct and proximate result of the intentional misconduct, carelessness, negligence, and recklessness of the named Defendants (designated in the Short Form Complaints), the Plaintiffs have sustained the aforesaid injuries, and the Plaintiffs' Spouses have been damaged as follows:

- a. They have been and will continue to be deprived of the services, society and companionship of their respective husbands;
- b. They have, will be and will continue to be required to spend money for medical care and household care for the treatment of their respective husbands; and

- c. They have been and will continue to be deprived of the earnings of their respective husbands.

369. As a result of the injuries, the Plaintiffs' Spouses are entitled to damages, as alleged herein or allowed by law.

COUNT XII
NEGLIGENT HIRING
(Against the NFL)

370. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

371. The NFL voluntarily and gratuitously inserted itself into the business of studying (and subsequently rendering expert opinions about) the relationship between repetitive head impacts in football and brain injury.

372. In doing so, the NFL assumed a duty to the Plaintiffs and the general public to retain and employ persons within the MTBI Committee who were professionally competent to study and render opinions on the relationship between repetitive head impacts in football and brain injury and to ensure that those whom it hired had no conflict of interest and that each had the professional and personal qualifications to conduct those studies and render opinions that were scientifically rigorous, valid, defensible, and honest.

373. The NFL breached its duty to the Plaintiffs and the general public by hiring persons who:

- a. were unqualified;
- b. were not competent to engage in rigorous and defensible scientific research;

- c. were not competent to render valid and defensible opinions;
- d. created fraudulent industry-funded research; and/or
- e. attacked as not credible the valid and defensible research and opinions generated by neuro-scientists who were unconnected to and not paid by the NFL.

374. The NFL's negligence in this regard resulted in a body of falsified industry-funded research that purposefully and/or negligently contested and suppressed valid and truthful bio-medical science. The NFL's negligence allowed the MTBI Committee to use falsified industry-funded research to mislead the Plaintiffs, other former NFL players, and the general public regarding the risks associated with repetitive head impacts in the game of football.

375. As a result of the NFL's negligence, the Plaintiffs have sustained brain injuries that are progressive and latent and did not take protective measures or seek the diagnosis and treatment they would have sought had they been told the truth.

COUNT XIII
NEGLIGENT RETENTION
(Against the NFL)

376. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

377. The NFL knew or should have known that the controlling members of the MTBI Committee demonstrated an ongoing lack of competence, objectivity and inadequate judgment to study and render expert opinions on the issue of the relationship between repetitive head impacts in football and brain injury.

378. The NFL voluntarily assumed a duty to the Plaintiffs and the general public not to allow those incompetent persons it had hired within the MTBI Committee to continue to conduct incompetent and falsified studies and render incompetent opinions on the relationship between repetitive head impacts in football and brain injury.

379. During the time period when the MTBI Committee was conducting its purported research and rendering its purported opinions, the NFL knew or should have known that the purported research and opinions of the MTBI Committee were false and indefensible.

380. The NFL breached its duty to the Plaintiffs and the general public by allowing these incompetent and unqualified persons, under the auspices and with the imprimatur of the NFL:

- a. to continue to create incompetent and indefensible research,
- b. to continue to render invalid and indefensible opinions, and
- c. to continue to attack the credible and defensible research and opinions of neuro-scientists not connected to or paid by the NFL.

381. The NFL's negligence allowed the incompetent members of the MTBI Committee to continue to advance their false and incompetent research and opinions in an attempt to suppress valid bio-medical science. The NFL's negligence allowed the MTBI Committee members to mislead the Plaintiffs, other former NFL players, and the general public regarding the permanent brain injury risks associated with repetitive head impacts in the game of football.

382. As a result of the NFL's failure, the Plaintiffs have sustained brain injuries that are progressive and latent and did not take protective measures or seek the diagnosis and treatment they would have sought had they been told the truth.

ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THE RIDDELL DEFENDANTS

383. The Riddell Defendants have operated as a business through designing, developing, manufacturing, selling, and distributing football equipment, including helmets, in one form or another, since 1922.

384. As early as the 1930s, players began using helmets during football games. These early helmets were constructed from pieces of cobbled leather.

385. In the early 1940s, John T. Riddell, who later formed John T. Riddell Incorporated, invented the first plastic suspension helmet. In 1949, plastic helmets became legalized.

386. Throughout the latter half of the 20th century and continuing to present day, the Riddell Defendants have designed, developed, manufactured, sold, and distributed equipment used in the NFL, including equipment used by Plaintiffs, including, but not limited to, the following:

- (a) In the 1950s, the Riddell Defendants manufactured a face-mask component for its helmets, which was eventually patented.
- (b) In 1962, the Riddell Defendants used a “U” shaped nose protector with a shell (known as the TK2) molded out of polycarbonate. The Riddell Defendants also designed an open/closed cell foam and composite liner system for this model to increase the efficiency of the webbed suspension.
- (c) In 1963, the Riddell Defendants developed the TAK-29 helmet, which was the first to use air inflation for fitting the helmet snug to the head. The TAK-29 shell, like the TK2, displayed the protective polycarbonate plastic, in addition to including tough shock and cut-resistant face-mask attachment straps.

- (d) In 1969, recognizing that head protection was a key factor in helmet design requiring durable head protection, the Riddell Defendants constructed a micro-fit helmet model with injection molding technology to create a one-piece shell to improve the structural integrity of the entire helmet.
- (e) In 1973, the Riddell Defendants developed, designed, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed an air cushion helmet whose interior system consisted of individual vinyl air cushions with layers of fitting and energy absorbing foam. When a blow was struck, the air in the cushion was expelled through a single vent, greatly reducing the initial impact. With the exhausting of the air cushion, the compressed fitting foam was further compressed, reducing impact.
- (f) In 1977, the Riddell Defendants developed, designed, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed a stainless steel face-mask which offered greater bend resistance that prevented helmet breakage at the drill holes.
- (g) In 1981, the Riddell Defendants developed, designed, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed an Air Cushion Engineered helmet.
- (h) In 1982, the Riddell Defendants developed, designed, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed a M155 helmet model with a combination of foam and liquid-filled cells used for padding. On impact, the liquid would be throttled from one cell to the next, resulting in energy attenuation. The M155 helmet model included one-piece injection-molded face-masks which were mar and rust-resistant, in addition to polyurethane face mask straps and universal jaw pads.

- (i) In 2002, the Riddell Defendants developed, designed, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed the Riddell Revolution helmet designed with the intent of reducing the risk of concussion.
- (j) In 2003, the Riddell Defendants developed, designed, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed a real-time, Head Impact Telemetry System (HITS) to monitor and record significant incidences of head impact sustained during a football game or practice. The system measured the location, magnitude, duration, and direction of head acceleration and transmitted that information wirelessly to the sideline.
- (k) In 2006, the Riddell Defendants provided a research grant to the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center for head injury research. The study compared rates of high school athletes who wore the Riddell Revolution helmet with those who wore traditional helmets.
- (l) In 2007, the Riddell Defendants developed, designed, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed an individual helmet system, Revolution IQ Hits™, allowing players to monitor the number and severity of impacts received during games and practices. On-board electronics record every impact, allowing players to upload and evaluate each occurrence on their home computers.
- (m) In 2001, the Riddell Defendants developed, designed, manufactured, sold, and/or distributed the 360 helmet which uses energy-managing materials and a face mask attachment system to disperse the energy of frontal

impacts. According to Riddell, it developed this helmet using over 1.4 million impacts collected through Riddell's HITS technology.

387. The Riddell Defendants' helmets are currently the official helmets of the NFL. As the official helmets for the NFL, the Riddell logo is the only helmet logo the NFL allows to be displayed on helmets worn by players during NFL games. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs wore Riddell helmets at times while playing and/or practicing during their NFL careers.

388. The Riddell Defendants at all times herein mentioned engaged in the business of selling, manufacturing, designing, testing, engineering, marketing, modifying, assembling, inspecting, distributing, and controlling the helmets and other similar equipment for use by Plaintiffs and within the NFL.

389. Plaintiffs did not know the long-term effects of concussions and relied on the NFL and Riddell to protect them.

**The Riddell Defendants' Duty to Protect
Against the Long-Term Risk of Concussions**

390. Despite years of science and medicine linking the risk of long term brain injury from repeat concussions, it was not until the release of the Revolution Helmet wherein a notification reminding players to "sit out" if they suffer a concussion was placed on the Revolution helmet.

391. Around the same time period, the Riddell Defendants developed the HITS system to monitor the severity and incident of impacts that a player receives.

392. Based on a 2003 University of Pittsburgh Medical Center study funded by a grant from the Riddell Defendants, the Riddell Defendants began to market the Revolution helmet as reducing concussions by 31%.

393. However, both the HITS system and the Revolution helmet, both created by the Riddell Defendants and their employees have been criticized by experts for their inaccurate marketing as being safer in reducing the risk of concussion.

394. A study published in the Journal of Neurosurgery showed that the study by UPMC was flawed in that it discounted low impact hits and in turn proved that the Revolution did not reduce the risk of concussions.

395. Even to this day the Riddell Defendants do not acknowledge a link between repeat concussions and later life cognitive problems.

396. In fact, the Riddell Defendants have never warned any Plaintiff or retired player of the long-term health effects of concussions.

COUNT XIV
STRICT LIABILITY FOR DESIGN DEFECT
(Against the Riddell Defendants)

397. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 and 383 through 396 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

398. At the time the helmets were designed, manufactured, sold, and distributed by the Riddell Defendants, the helmets were defective in design, unreasonably dangerous, and unsafe for their intended purpose because they did not provide adequate protection against the foreseeable risk of concussive brain injury. The design defect includes, but is not limited to the following:

- (a) Negligently failing to design the subject helmet with a safe means of attenuating and absorbing the foreseeable forces of impact in order to minimize and/or reduce the forces and energy directed to the player's head;
- (b) Negligently designing the subject helmet with a shock attenuating system which was not safely configured;

- (c) Negligently failing to properly and adequately test the helmet model;
- (d) Other acts of negligence that may be discovered during the course of this matter;
- (e) Failing to warn Plaintiffs that their helmets would not protect against the long-term health consequences of concussive brain injury;
- (f) Prior to 2002, the Riddell Defendants made no attempt to design a helmet to protect against concussive injuries. The two helmets they marketed, the AF2 (discontinued in 1997) and the VSR4, had insufficient padding to protect against concussive injuries; and
- (g) Even the Riddell Revolution Helmets (introduced in 2002) were not designed to sufficiently protect against concussions as was shown by the demonstration before a congressional panel, by P. David Halstead, Technical Director of Southern Impact Research Center (“SIRC”) on January 4, 2012.

399. The defective design and unreasonably dangerous condition were a proximate and producing cause of the personal injuries suffered by the Plaintiffs and other damages, including but not limited to, economic damages and non-economic damages.

400. At all times, the helmets were being used for the purpose for which they were intended.

401. The Riddell Defendants are strictly liable for designing a defective and unreasonably dangerous product and for failing to warn which were proximate and producing causes of the personal injuries and other damages including, but not limited to, economic damage as alleged herein. A safer alternative design was economically and technologically feasible at the time the product left the control of the Riddell Defendants.

COUNT XV
STRICT LIABILITY FOR MANUFACTURING DEFECT
(Against the Riddell Defendants)

402. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 and 383 through 396 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

403. At the time the helmets were designed, manufactured, sold and distributed by the Riddell Defendants, the helmets were defective in their manufacturing and unreasonably dangerous and unsafe for their intended purpose because they did not provide adequate protection against the foreseeable risk of concussive brain injury. The Riddell Defendants' failure to design the helmets to design and manufacturing specifications resulted in, among other things, the following:

- (a) Negligently failing to manufacture the subject helmet with a safe means of attenuating and absorbing the foreseeable forces of impact in order to minimize and/or reduce the forces and energy directed to the player's head;
- (b) Negligently manufacturing the subject helmet with a shock attenuating system which was not safely configured;
- (c) Negligently failing to properly and adequately inspect and/or test the helmet model;
- (d) Other acts of negligence that may be discovered during the course of this matter; and
- (e) Failure to warn Plaintiffs that its helmets wouldn't protect against concussive brain injury.

404. The manufacturing defect was a proximate and producing cause of the personal injuries suffered by Plaintiffs and other damages, including but not limited to, economic damages and non-economic damages.

405. The Riddell Defendants are strictly liable for manufacturing and placing in the stream of commerce a defective and unreasonably dangerous product which was a proximate and producing cause of the personal injuries and other damages, including but not limited to, economic damages and non-economic damages. A safe alternative design was economically and technologically feasible at the time the product left the control of the Riddell Defendants.

COUNT XVI
FAILURE TO WARN
(Against the Riddell Defendants)

406. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 and 383 through 396 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

407. The Riddell Defendants knew or should have known of the substantial dangers involved in the reasonably foreseeable use of the helmets.

408. The Riddell Defendants failed to provide necessary and adequate safety and instructional materials and warnings of the risk and means available to reduce and/or minimize the risk of concussive brain injuries while playing football.

409. The Riddell Defendants failed to provide necessary and adequate information, warnings, and/or instructional materials regarding the fact that other model helmets provided greater shock attenuation from blows to the head area.

410. The Riddell Defendants ignored 18 years of published literature, read by their general counsel Richard Lester, warning of the dangers of concussive injuries until 2002, when a warning involving return to play after a concussion was placed on all Riddell helmets. The warning was still defective and inadequate and remains today defective and inadequate because it does not warn about the later life cognitive effects of concussive injury.

411. The Riddell Defendants knew that these substantial dangers were not readily recognizable to an ordinary consumer or user and that such person would use these products without inspection for defects.

412. Plaintiffs neither knew, nor had reason to know of the existence of the aforementioned defects, or increased risks of harm.

413. Plaintiffs were using the helmets in a reasonably foreseeable manner at all times.

414. Plaintiffs' damages were the legal and proximate result of the actions of the Riddell Defendants who owed a duty to warn Plaintiffs of the risks of substantial harm associated with the foreseeable use of their products.

415. The Riddell Defendants' failure to warn caused the Plaintiffs' personal injuries.

COUNT XVII
NEGLIGENCE
(Against the Riddell Defendants)

416. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 and 383 through 396 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

417. The Riddell Defendants were negligent in their design, testing, assembly, manufacture, marketing, and engineering of the helmets as described herein.

418. The Riddell Defendants owed a duty of care to the Plaintiffs in their design, testing, manufacture, assembly, marketing and sale of the helmets and all components and sub-assemblies of the helmets.

419. The Riddell Defendants should have been well aware that since 1928 repeated blows to the head can lead to CTE, commonly known as "punch-drunk syndrome".

420. The Riddell Defendants breached their duty of reasonable care by failing to provide necessary and adequate safety and instructional materials and warnings of the risk and means available

to reduce and/or minimize the risk of concussive brain injuries while playing football using their helmets.

421. As a result of the Riddell Defendants' breach of duty, Plaintiffs have sustained permanent injury.

COUNT XVIII
CIVIL CONSPIRACY/FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT
(Against the NFL Defendants)

422. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 245 and 383 through 396 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

423. For decades, the named Defendants, along with others who were employed by the NFL, including those who participated in the NFL MTBI Committee, acted in concert to perpetrate the fraudulent concealment of the connection between repetitive MTBI and long-term neuro-cognitive damage, illness, and decline.

424. The named Defendants, along with those who participated in the concerted efforts referenced above, knowingly failed to disclose and/or made continuing misrepresentations of material fact that there was an absence of any scientific basis to believe that repetitive MTBI created any known long-term neuro-cognitive risks to NFL players. That misconduct by the named Defendants exposed Plaintiffs to an increased risk of brain injury and was the proximate cause of the Plaintiffs' brain injuries.

425. Plaintiffs have suffered personal injuries as a result of the named Defendants' concerted activities.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Spouses pray for judgment as follows:

A. Declaratory relief requested pursuant to 28 USC § 2201 against the NFL;

B. Granting an injunction and/or other equitable relief against the NFL and in favor of Plaintiffs for the requested medical monitoring.

C. Granting Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Spouses an award of compensatory and punitive damages against the NFL Defendants.

D. Granting Plaintiffs an award of compensatory and punitive damages against the Riddell Defendants.

E. With respect to all counts, awarding Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Spouses such other and further relief as may be appropriate; and

F. Granting an award to all Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Spouses of prejudgment interest, costs and attorneys fees.

JURY DEMANDED

Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Spouses hereby demand a trial by jury on all matters so triable.

Signed this 17th day of July 2012.

Dated: July 17, 2012

Respectfully Submitted:

/s/ Jeannine M. Kenney

Jeannine Kenney (PA # 307635)

HAUSFELD LLP

1604 Locust Street

Second Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 985-3270

Facsimile: (215) 985-3271

jkenney@hausfeldllp.com

***Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel
On Behalf of All Undersigned
Counsel of Record***

Christopher Seeger
SEEGER WEISS LLP
77 Water Street
New York, NY 10005
Phone: (212) 584-0700
Fax: (212) 584-0799
cseeger@seegerweiss.com

Sol Weiss
ANAPOL SCHWARTZ
1710 Spruce Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: (215) 735-1130
Fax: (215) 735-2024
sweiss@anapolschwartz.com

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel

David Buchanan
SEEGER WEISS LLP
77 Water Street
New York, NY 10005
Phone: (212) 584-0700
Fax: (212) 584-0799
dbuchanan@seegerweiss.com

Larry E. Coben
ANAPOL SCHWARTZ
1710 Spruce Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: (215) 735-1130
Fax: (215) 735-2024
lcoben@anapolschwartz.com

Thomas V. Girardi
Graham B. LippSmith
GIRARDI KEESE
1126 Wilshire Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Phone: (213) 977-0211
Fax: (213) 481-1554
tgirardi@girardikeese.com
glippsmith@girardikeese.com

Michael D. Hausfeld
Richard S. Lewis
HAUSFELD LLP
1700 K Street, N.W., Suite 650
Washington, D.C. 20006
Phone: (202) 540-7200
Fax: (202) 540-7201
mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com
rlewis@hausfeldllp.com

Gene Locks
David D. Langfitt
LOCKS LAW FIRM
The Curtis Center
Suite 720 East
601 Walnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Phone: (215) 893-3434
Fax: (215) 893-3444
glocks@lockslaw.com
dlangfitt@lockslaw.com

Steven C. Marks
Ricardo M. Martinez-Cid
PODHURST ORSECK P.A.
City National Bank Building
25 W. Flagler Street, Suite 800
Miami, FL 33130-1780
Phone: (305) 358-2800
Fax: (305) 358-2382
rmartinez-cid@podhurst.com
smarks@podhurst.com

Plaintiffs' Executive Committee

James R. Dugan, II
THE DUGAN LAW FIRM
One Canal Place, Suite 1000
365 Canal Street
New Orleans, LA 70130
Phone: (504) 648-0180
Fax: (504) 648-0181
jdugan@dugan-lawfirm.com

Arnold Levin
LEVIN FISHBEIN SEDRAN & BERMAN
510 Walnut Street, Suite 500
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Phone: (215) 592-1500
Fax: (215) 592-4663
alevin@lfsblaw.com

Dianne M. Nast
RODANAST, PC
801 Estelle Drive
Lancaster, PA 17601
Phone: (717) 892-3000
Fax: (717) 892-1200
dnast@rodanast.com

Charles S. Zimmerman
ZIMMERMAN REED PLLP
1100 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Phone: (612) 341-0400
Fax: (612) 341-0844
charles.zimmerman@zimmreed.com

Anthony Tarricone
**KREINDLER &
KREINDLER LLP**
277 Dartmouth Street
Boston, MA 02116
Phone: (617) 424-9100
Fax: (617) 424-9120
atarricone@kreindler.com

Michael L. McGlamry
**POPE, MCGLAMRY,
KILPATRICK
MORRISON & NORWOOD,
P.C.**
3455 Peachtree Road, NE
The Pinnacle, Suite 925
P.O. Box 191625 (31119-1625)
Atlanta, GA 30326-3243
Phone: (404) 523-7706
Fax: (404) 524-1648
efile@pmkm.com

David A. Rosen
**ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS
LLP**
801 South Grand Avenue, 11th
Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017-4645
Phone: (213) 626-0571
Fax: (213) 623-7755
d.rosen@rkmlaw.net

Derriel McCorvey
**THE LAW FIRM OF
DERRIEL C. MCCORVEY**
1115 W. Main Street, Suite 14
P.O. Box 2473
Lafayette, LA 70501
Phone: (337) 291-2431
derriel@mccorveylaw.com

David S. Casey, Jr.

Fred Schenk

CASEY GERRY SCHENK FRANCAVILLA

BLATT & PENFIELD LLP

110 Laurel Street

San Diego, CA 92101-1486

Phone: (619) 238-1811

Fax: (619) 544-9232

dcasey@cglaw.com

fschenk@cglaw.com

Plaintiffs' Steering Committee